


Site Assessment Forms

Eversholt



Contents

NLP462	3
NLP505	
NLP506	
NLP507	12
NLP508	15



Site Assessment Framework for HOUSING¹

Site details	
Reference Number	NLP462
Site Name	Berry End Farm
Site Address	Berry End Farm
Settlement	Eversholt
Size	Submitted Developable Area: 0.52ha Submitted Whole Site Area: 0.52ha Measured GIS Area: 0.55ha
Proposed Use	residential
Any other information	

STAGE 1: SUITABILITY AND AVAILABILITY (EXCLUSIONARY STAGE)

This section will exclude any sites which do not pass the exclusionary suitability criteria and they will not be assessed further.

CTAC	T 4 A ACCECCMENT				
	STAGE 1A ASSESSMENT This stage of the assessment rules out sites that are too small or conflict with national policy designations.				
		ii or com	ict with national policy designations.		
	sional Site Capacity				
1	Is the site likely to accommodate less than 10	No	Number of proposed dwellings as		
	dwellings?		per proforma:		
	Work out the number of new homes from site size				
	using density of 30dph and exclude up to 40 %		6		
	depending on site size of land for infrastructure and				
	services, take into account topography or significant				
	areas of undevelopable land.				
	Site Size Gross to net ratio standards		Number of proposed dwellings as		
	 Up to 0.4 hectare 100% 		per CBC methodology:		
	 0.4 to 2 hectares 80% 				
	 2 hectares or above 60% 		12		
	Note: for this calculation use the submitted				
	Developable Area, or the area measured in GIS if				
	this is smaller.				
Flood	I Risk (All sites which reach Stage 2 will be subject to the	ne Seque	ential Test)		
2	Is more than 50% of the site located in Flood Zone 2	No	No more than 50% of the site		
	or 3?		located in Flood Zone 2 or 3.		
3	Is more than 50% of the site at risk from surface	No	No more than 50% of the site at		
	water flooding?		risk from surface water flooding.		
			, and the second		
Natio	nally significant designations (All sites which reach S	stage 2 b	e subject to detailed assessment)		
4	Is more than 50% of the site covered by nationally	No	There are no nationally significant		
	significant designations? These are: Sites of Special		designations on site.		
	Scientific Interest, National Nature Reserves,				
	Scheduled Monuments, Registered Parks and				
	Gardens.				
5	Is more than 50% of the site located within the Area	No	The site is not within the AONB.		
	of Outstanding Natural Beauty?				
Does	the site continue to next stage?		Yes		

¹ Employment sites and Gypsy and Traveller sites will be assessed using separate bespoke site assessment criteria.

This stage of the assessment rules out sites that are not well related to existing settlements but are of an insufficient size to be self contained. It also rules out sites which would cause coalescence of existing towns or villages. For the purposes of this assessment, a self-contained site is defined as a site which will provide 1,500 homes or more².

Relationship to Settlement

6	For sites that are not of a sufficient scale to be self- contained, is the site a logical extension to the settlement or are there any major physical constraints(for example A roads, rivers or railways) that separate it from the main settlement?	A	There is no settlement envelope in Eversholt as it comprises a number of clusters of detached dwellings. The site is separated from a cluster and is located on a farm and thus not adjoining a cluster.
7	Does the site cause coalescence between an existing village or town and another existing village or town? If yes, then grade as Amber if the site would be able to provide appropriate buffers or green wedges to mitigate this, or Red if it would not be possible for appropriate buffers to be provided leaving a reasonable developable area based on the individual context of the site.	G	The site does not cause coalescence between villages.
Does the site continue to next stage?			Yes

	GE 1C ASSESSMENT		
This s	stage of the assessment rules out sites that are not able	to meet	their critical infrastructure needs ³ .
Critic	al Infrastructure		
8	Can the site meet the critical infrastructure	G	The site can provide the specific
	requirements that will enable delivery ⁴ ?		critical infrastructure.
Does	the site continue to next stage?		Yes

This devel	STAGE 1D ASSESSMENT This stage of the assessment rules out sites that are not available. A site is considered available for development where there are no legal or ownership problems and the landowner has expressed an intention to develop the site. Availability			
9	What is the existing use of the site? Would the existing use limit the development potential? A The site is located on a farm and is currently occupied by a range of farm buildings that would either need to be converted or demolished.			
10	Is the land controlled by a developer or land owner who has expressed an intention to develop the site?	G	The land is controlled by a landowner intent on developing the site.	
11	Are there any legal or ownership problems that could delay or prevent development? If Yes, then can these be issues be realistically	G	There are no known legal or ownership problems.	

The figure of 1,500 homes has been taken from the Government Publication 'Locally-Led Garden Villages, Towns and Cities'. This defines the eligibility criteria for Garden Villages as standalone settlements of between 1,500 and 10,000 homes. (see https://www.gov.uk/government/uploads/system/uploads/attachment_data/file/508205/Locally-led garden villages towns and cities.pdf)

³ Critical infrastructure is that which has been identified as infrastructure that must happen to enable physical development. These infrastructure items are often known as 'blockers' or 'showstoppers', and are most common in relation to transport and utilities infrastructure. Failure to provide these pieces of infrastructure could result in significant delays in the delivery of development.

⁴ This is an assessment based on the information known at this stage, a full assessment of infrastructure requirements will be undertaken before any sites are allocated.

	overcome?		
12	Does the site already have planning permission for	G	No planning permission
	the proposed use? If yes, then score as Red		
	because it's not eligible for allocation.		
Does	the site continue to next stage?		Yes

This section records the findings of the Strategic Green Belt Review and also provides a preliminary screening of sites to determine whether they may be capable of demonstrating Exceptional Circumstances. Any site in the Green Belt that is determined as suitable based on the high level SHLAA assessment would still have to demonstrate Exceptional Circumstances to considered for allocation in the

Plan.	Plan.			
Gree	nbelt			
13	Is the site located within the Green Belt?	Yes	Site is located in the Green Belt	
14	If answer to question 13 is yes, then does the site lie within one of the parcels which have been identified in the Central Bedfordshire and Luton Green Belt Study as making only a relatively weak, weak, or no contribution? If yes, site progresses through to Stage 2.	No	Site not located within an identified parcel.	
15a	Does the site have all of the following merits that may outweigh the harm to the Green Belt and which may contribute to identification of exceptional circumstances? • Adjoining settlement has at least 3 of the following key local services - convenience shop, lower school, middle school, upper school, village hall, GP surgery, post office, library (use settlement audit) • Site makes a strong contribution to housing need (100 plus homes) within the Luton HMA • Site is in or directly adjacent to a settlement that has a mainline rail station or direct assess (junction) to the strategic road network (A road or motorway) Sites in Green Belt other than those covered by 14 and 15b that cannot meet these criteria, will not progress any further in this assessment of suitability.*	No	It is considered that the site does not have all of the listed merits that may outweigh harm to the Green Belt.	
15b	Sites which have support from the local community as demonstrated through an allocation in an adopted or draft Neighbourhood Plan (that has been subject to Regulation 14 consultation) that do not meet the criteria in question 15a will automatically progress through this stage to be considered further at Stage 2.5	No	NA	
Does	the site continue to next stage?		No	

Site Assessment Framework for HOUSING⁶

⁵ Draft Neighbourhood Plan allocations in Green Belt that are proposed after this site assessment phase has concluded, may still be considered for allocation.

⁶ Employment sites and Gypsy and Traveller sites will be assessed using separate bespoke site assessment criteria.

Site details	
Reference Number	NLP505
Site Name	Land at Tyrells End (1)
Site Address	Land at Tyrells End (1)
Settlement	Eversholt
Size	Submitted Developable Area:0.59ha
	Submitted Whole Site Area: 0.59ha
	Measured GIS Area:0.62ha
Proposed Use	Residential
Any other	
information	

STAGE 1 : SUITABILITY AND AVAILABILITY (EXCLUSIONARY STAGE)
This section will exclude any sites which do not pass the exclusionary suitability criteria and they will not be assessed further.

OTAG	E 44 ACCECCHENT				
	STAGE 1A ASSESSMENT				
	stage of the assessment rules out sites that are too sma	ii or cont	lict with national policy designations.		
	sional Site Capacity	I			
1	Is the site likely to accommodate less than 10 dwellings? Work out the number of new homes from site size using density of 30dph and exclude up to 40 % depending on site size of land for infrastructure and services, take into account topography or significant areas of undevelopable land. Site Size Gross to net ratio standards Up to 0.4 hectare 100% 0.4 to 2 hectares 80% 2 hectares or above 60% Note: for this calculation use the submitted Developable Area, or the area measured in GIS if this is smaller.	No	Number of proposed dwellings as per proforma: 6 Number of proposed dwellings as per CBC methodology: 14		
Flood	I Risk (All sites which reach Stage 2 will be subject to the	ne Seque	ential Test)		
2	Is more than 50% of the site located in Flood Zone 2 or 3?	No	Not more than 50% of the site is located in Flood Zone 2 or 3.		
3	Is more than 50% of the site at risk from surface water flooding?	No	Not more than 50% of the site is at risk from surface water flooding.		
Natio	nally significant designations (All sites which reach S	tage 2 b	e subject to detailed assessment)		
4	Is more than 50% of the site covered by nationally significant designations? These are: Sites of Special Scientific Interest, National Nature Reserves, Scheduled Monuments, Registered Parks and Gardens.	No	There are no nationally significant designations on site.		
5	Is more than 50% of the site located within the Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty?	No	The site is not within the AONB.		
Does	the site continue to next stage?		Yes		

This stage of the assessment rules out sites that are not well related to existing settlements but are of an insufficient size to be self contained. It also rules out sites which would cause coalescence of existing towns or villages. For the purposes of this assessment, a self-contained site is defined as a site which will provide 1,500 homes or more⁷.

Relati	ionship	to	Settlement

6	For sites that are not of a sufficient scale to be self- contained, is the site a logical extension to the settlement or are there any major physical constraints(for example A roads, rivers or railways) that separate it from the main settlement?	A	There is no settlement envelope in Eversholt as it comprises a number of clusters. The site is located next to a small cluster of houses. A smaller portion of the site may be more suitable as the site does form an extension to this cluster.
7	Does the site cause coalescence between an existing village or town and another existing village or town? If yes, then grade as Amber if the site would be able to provide appropriate buffers or green wedges to mitigate this, or Red if it would not be possible for appropriate buffers to be provided leaving a reasonable developable area based on the individual context of the site.	G	The site does not cause coalescence between villages.
Does	the site continue to next stage?		Yes

STAGE 1C ASSESSMENT

This stage of the assessment rules out sites that are not able to meet their critical infrastructure needs⁸

Critical Infrastructure

8	Can the site meet the critical infrastructure requirements that will enable delivery ⁹ ?	G	The site can provide the specific critical infrastructure.
Does the site continue to next stage?			Yes

STAGE 1D ASSESSMENT

This stage of the assessment rules out sites that are not available. A site is considered available for development where there are no legal or ownership problems and the landowner has expressed an intention to develop the site.

Availability

Avaii	t an ability			
9	What is the existing use of the site?	G	The site is greenfield.	
	Would the existing use limit the development potential?			
10	Is the land controlled by a developer or land owner who has expressed an intention to develop the site?	G	The land is controlled by a landowner intent on developing the site.	
11	Are there any legal or ownership problems that could delay or prevent development? If Yes, then can these be issues be realistically overcome?	G	There are no known legal or ownership problems.	

The figure of 1,500 homes has been taken from the Government Publication 'Locally-Led Garden Villages, Towns and Cities'. This defines the eligibility criteria for Garden Villages as standalone settlements of between 1,500 and 10,000 homes. (see https://www.gov.uk/government/uploads/system/uploads/attachment_data/file/508205/Locallyled garden villages towns and cities.pdf)

Critical infrastructure is that which has been identified as infrastructure that must happen to enable physical development. These infrastructure items are often known as 'blockers' or 'showstoppers', and are most common in relation to transport and utilities infrastructure. Failure to provide these pieces of infrastructure could result in significant delays in the delivery of development.

This is an assessment based on the information known at this stage, a full assessment of infrastructure requirements will be undertaken before any sites are allocated.

12	Does the site already have planning permission for the proposed use? If yes, then score as Red because it's not eligible for allocation.	G	No planning permission
Does the site continue to next stage?			Yes

	Plan.				
Greer					
13	Is the site located within the Green Belt?	Yes	Site located within the Green Belt		
14	If answer to question 13 is yes, then does the site lie within one of the parcels which have been identified in the Central Bedfordshire and Luton Green Belt Study as making only a relatively weak, weak, or no contribution? If yes, site progresses through to Stage 2.	No	Site not within an identified parcel.		
15a	Does the site have all of the following merits that may outweigh the harm to the Green Belt and which may contribute to identification of exceptional circumstances? • Adjoining settlement has at least 3 of the following key local services - convenience shop, lower school, middle school, upper school, village hall, GP surgery, post office, library (use settlement audit) • Site makes a strong contribution to housing need (100 plus homes) within the Luton HMA • Site is in or directly adjacent to a settlement that has a mainline rail station or direct assess (junction) to the strategic road network (A road or motorway) Sites in Green Belt other than those covered by 14 and 15b that cannot meet these criteria, will not progress any further in this assessment of suitability.*	No	It is considered that the site does not have all of the listed merits that may outweigh harm to the Green Belt.		
15b	Sites which have support from the local community as demonstrated through an allocation in an adopted or draft Neighbourhood Plan (that has been subject to Regulation 14 consultation) that do not meet the criteria in question 15a will automatically progress through this stage to be considered further at Stage 2. ¹⁰	No	NA		
Does	the site continue to next stage?		No		

¹⁰ Draft Neighbourhood Plan allocations in Green Belt that are proposed after this site assessment phase has concluded, may still be considered for allocation.

Site Assessment Framework for HOUSING¹¹

Site details	Site details				
Reference Number	NLP506				
Site Name	Land at Tyrells End (2)				
Site Address	Land at Tyrells End (2)				
Settlement	Eversholt				
Size	Submitted Developable Area: 0.44ha Submitted Whole Site Area: 0.44ha Measured GIS Area: 0.49ha				
Proposed Use	residential				
Any other					
information					

STAGE 1: SUITABILITY AND AVAILABILITY (EXCLUSIONARY STAGE)

This section will exclude any sites which do not pass the exclusionary suitability criteria and they will not be assessed further

STAG	STAGE 1A ASSESSMENT			
	stage of the assessment rules out sites that are too sma	Il or conf	lict with national policy designations.	
	sional Site Capacity			
1	Is the site likely to accommodate less than 10 dwellings? Work out the number of new homes from site size using density of 30dph and exclude up to 40 % depending on site size of land for infrastructure and services, take into account topography or significant areas of undevelopable land. Site Size Gross to net ratio standards • Up to 0.4 hectare 100%	No	Number of proposed dwellings as per proforma: 5 Number of proposed dwellings as per CBC methodology:	
	 0.4 to 2 hectares 80% 2 hectares or above 60% Note: for this calculation use the submitted Developable Area, or the area measured in GIS if this is smaller. 		11	
Flood	Risk (All sites which reach Stage 2 will be subject to the	ne Seque	ential Test)	
2	Is more than 50% of the site located in Flood Zone 2 or 3?	No	Not more than 50% of the site is located in Flood Zone 2 or 3.	
3	Is more than 50% of the site at risk from surface water flooding?	No	No more than 50% of the site is at risk from surface water flooding.	
Natio	nally significant designations (All sites which reach S		e subject to detailed assessment)	
4	Is more than 50% of the site covered by nationally significant designations? These are: Sites of Special Scientific Interest, National Nature Reserves, Scheduled Monuments, Registered Parks and Gardens.	No	There are no nationally significant designations on site.	
5	Is more than 50% of the site located within the Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty?	No	The site is not within the AONB.	
Does	the site continue to next stage?		Yes	

¹¹ Employment sites and Gypsy and Traveller sites will be assessed using separate bespoke site assessment criteria.

This stage of the assessment rules out sites that are not well related to existing settlements but are of an insufficient size to be self contained. It also rules out sites which would cause coalescence of existing towns or villages. For the purposes of this assessment, a self-contained site is defined as a site which will provide 1,500 homes or more ¹².

Relationship to Settlement

STAGE 1D ASSESSMENT

overcome?

delay or prevent development?

If Yes, then can these be issues be realistically

6	For sites that are not of a sufficient scale to be self- contained, is the site a logical extension to the settlement or are there any major physical constraints(for example A roads, rivers or railways) that separate it from the main settlement?	A	There is no settlement envelope in Eversholt as it comprises a number of clusters. The site is located next to a small cluster of houses. A smaller portion of the site may be more suitable as the site does form an extension to this cluster.
7	Does the site cause coalescence between an existing village or town and another existing village or town? If yes, then grade as Amber if the site would be able to provide appropriate buffers or green wedges to mitigate this, or Red if it would not be possible for appropriate buffers to be provided leaving a reasonable developable area based on the individual context of the site.	G	The site does not cause coalescence between villages.
Does	the site continue to next stage?		Yes

STAG	STAGE 1C ASSESSMENT				
This s	This stage of the assessment rules out sites that are not able to meet their critical infrastructure needs ¹³ .				
Critic	Critical Infrastructure				
8	Can the site meet the critical infrastructure	G	The site can provide the specific		
	requirements that will enable delivery ¹⁴ ? critical infrastructure.				
Does	the site continue to next stage?		Yes		

This stage of the assessment rules out sites that are not available. A site is considered available for development where there are no legal or ownership problems and the landowner has expressed an intention to develop the site. **Availability** 9 What is the existing use of the site? G The site is greenfield. Would the existing use limit the development potential? Is the land controlled by a developer or land owner 10 G The land is controlled by a who has expressed an intention to develop the site? landowner intent on developing the 11 Are there any legal or ownership problems that could G There are no known legal or

ownership problems.

The figure of 1,500 homes has been taken from the Government Publication 'Locally-Led Garden Villages, Towns and Cities'. This defines the eligibility criteria for Garden Villages as standalone settlements of between 1,500 and 10,000 homes. (see https://www.gov.uk/government/uploads/system/uploads/attachment_data/file/508205/Locally-led_garden_villages_towns_and_cities.pdf)

¹³ Critical infrastructure is that which has been identified as infrastructure that must happen to enable physical development. These infrastructure items are often known as 'blockers' or 'showstoppers', and are most common in relation to transport and utilities infrastructure. Failure to provide these pieces of infrastructure could result in significant delays in the delivery of development.

¹⁴ This is an assessment based on the information known at this stage, a full assessment of infrastructure requirements will be undertaken before any sites are allocated.

12	Does the site already have planning permission for the proposed use? If yes, then score as Red because it's not eligible for allocation.	G	No planning permission
Does the site continue to next stage?			Yes

	Plan.				
Greei					
13	Is the site located within the Green Belt?	Yes	Site located within the Green Belt		
14	If answer to question 13 is yes, then does the site lie within one of the parcels which have been identified in the Central Bedfordshire and Luton Green Belt Study as making only a relatively weak, weak, or no contribution? If yes, site progresses through to Stage 2.	No	Site not within an identified parcel.		
15a	Does the site have all of the following merits that may outweigh the harm to the Green Belt and which may contribute to identification of exceptional circumstances? • Adjoining settlement has at least 3 of the following key local services - convenience shop, lower school, middle school, upper school, village hall, GP surgery, post office, library (use settlement audit) • Site makes a strong contribution to housing need (100 plus homes) within the Luton HMA • Site is in or directly adjacent to a settlement that has a mainline rail station or direct assess (junction) to the strategic road network (A road or motorway) Sites in Green Belt other than those covered by 14 and 15b that cannot meet these criteria, will not progress any further in this assessment of suitability.* Sites which have support from the local community	No	It is considered that the site does not have all of the listed merits that may outweigh harm to the Green Belt.		
	as demonstrated through an allocation in an adopted or draft Neighbourhood Plan (that has been subject to Regulation 14 consultation) that do not meet the criteria in question 15a will automatically progress through this stage to be considered further at Stage 2. ¹⁵	101			
Does	the site continue to next stage?		No		

¹⁵ Draft Neighbourhood Plan allocations in Green Belt that are proposed after this site assessment phase has concluded, may still be considered for allocation.

Site Assessment Framework for HOUSING¹⁶

Site details	Site details				
Reference Number	NLP507				
Site Name	Land at Witts End (1)				
Site Address	Land at Witts End (1)				
Settlement	Eversholt				
Size	Submitted Developable Area: 0.58ha Submitted Whole Site Area: 0.58ha Measured GIS Area: 0.48ha				
Proposed Use	residential				
Any other					
information					

STAGE 1: SUITABILITY AND AVAILABILITY (EXCLUSIONARY STAGE)

This section will exclude any sites which do not pass the exclusionary suitability criteria and they will not be assessed further.

	GE 1A ASSESSMENT				
	This stage of the assessment rules out sites that are too small or conflict with national policy designations.				
Provi	sional Site Capacity				
1	Is the site likely to accommodate less than 10 dwellings? Work out the number of new homes from site size using density of 30dph and exclude up to 40 % depending on site size of land for infrastructure and services, take into account topography or significant areas of undevelopable land. Site Size Gross to net ratio standards • Up to 0.4 hectare 100% • 0.4 to 2 hectares 80% • 2 hectares or above 60% Note: for this calculation use the submitted Developable Area, or the area measured in GIS if this is smaller.	No	Number of proposed dwellings as per proforma: 6 Number of proposed dwellings as per CBC methodology: 13		
Flood	Risk (All sites which reach Stage 2 will be subject to the	ne Seque	ential Test)		
2	Is more than 50% of the site located in Flood Zone 2 or 3?	No	No more than 50% of the site located in Flood Zone 2 or 3.		
3	Is more than 50% of the site at risk from surface water flooding?	No	Not more than 50% of the site is at risk from surface water flooding.		
Natio	nally significant designations (All sites which reach S	stage 2 b	e subject to detailed assessment)		
4	Is more than 50% of the site covered by nationally significant designations? These are: Sites of Special Scientific Interest, National Nature Reserves, Scheduled Monuments, Registered Parks and Gardens.	No	There are no nationally significant designations on site.		
5	Is more than 50% of the site located within the Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty?	No	The site is not within the AONB.		
Does	the site continue to next stage?		Yes		

¹⁶ Employment sites and Gypsy and Traveller sites will be assessed using separate bespoke site assessment criteria.

This stage of the assessment rules out sites that are not well related to existing settlements but are of an insufficient size to be self contained. It also rules out sites which would cause coalescence of existing towns or villages. For the purposes of this assessment, a self-contained site is defined as a site which will provide 1,500 homes or more ¹⁷.

Relationship to Settlement

Relat	ionship to settlement		
6	For sites that are not of a sufficient scale to be self- contained, is the site a logical extension to the settlement or are there any major physical constraints(for example A roads, rivers or railways) that separate it from the main settlement?	A	There is no settlement envelope in Eversholt as it comprises a number of clusters of detached dwellings. The site is located next to a small cluster of houses. The site may form an extension to this cluster.
7	Does the site cause coalescence between an existing village or town and another existing village or town? If yes, then grade as Amber if the site would be able to provide appropriate buffers or green wedges to mitigate this, or Red if it would not be possible for appropriate buffers to be provided leaving a reasonable developable area based on the individual context of the site.	G	The site does not cause coalescence between villages.
Does	the site continue to next stage?		Yes

	GE 1C ASSESSMENT			
This s	This stage of the assessment rules out sites that are not able to meet their critical infrastructure needs ¹⁸ .			
Critic	Critical Infrastructure			
8	Can the site meet the critical infrastructure	G	The site can provide the specific	
	requirements that will enable delivery ¹⁹ ?		critical infrastructure.	
Does	the site continue to next stage?		Yes	

STAC	STAGE 1D ASSESSMENT				
This	This stage of the assessment rules out sites that are not available. A site is considered available for				
devel	development where there are no legal or ownership problems and the landowner has expressed an				
intent	ion to develop the site.				
Avail	ability				
9	What is the existing use of the site?	G	The site is greenfield.		
	Would the existing use limit the development				
	potential?				
10	Is the land controlled by a developer or land owner	G	The land is controlled by a		
	who has expressed an intention to develop the site?		landowner intent on developing the		
			site.		
11	Are there any legal or ownership problems that could	G	There are no known legal or		
	delay or prevent development?		ownership problems.		
	If Yes, then can these be issues be realistically				
	overcome?				

¹⁷ The figure of 1,500 homes has been taken from the Government Publication 'Locally-Led Garden Villages, Towns and Cities'. This defines the eligibility criteria for Garden Villages as standalone settlements of between 1,500 and 10,000 homes. (see https://www.gov.uk/government/uploads/system/uploads/attachment_data/file/508205/Locally-led garden villages towns and cities.pdf)

¹⁸ Critical infrastructure is that which has been identified as infrastructure that must happen to enable physical development. These infrastructure items are often known as 'blockers' or 'showstoppers', and are most common in relation to transport and utilities infrastructure. Failure to provide these pieces of infrastructure could result in significant delays in the delivery of development.

¹⁹ This is an assessment based on the information known at this stage, a full assessment of infrastructure requirements will be undertaken before any sites are allocated.

12	Does the site already have planning permission for the proposed use? If yes, then score as Red because it's not eligible for allocation.	G	No planning permission
Does	the site continue to next stage?		Yes

	Plan.					
	Greenbelt					
13	Is the site located within the Green Belt?	Yes	Site located within the Green Belt			
14	If answer to question 13 is yes, then does the site lie within one of the parcels which have been identified in the Central Bedfordshire and Luton Green Belt Study as making only a relatively weak, weak, or no contribution? If yes, site progresses through to Stage 2.	No	Site not within an identified parcel.			
15a	Does the site have all of the following merits that may outweigh the harm to the Green Belt and which may contribute to identification of exceptional circumstances? • Adjoining settlement has at least 3 of the following key local services - convenience shop, lower school, middle school, upper school, village hall, GP surgery, post office, library (use settlement audit) • Site makes a strong contribution to housing need (100 plus homes) within the Luton HMA • Site is in or directly adjacent to a settlement that has a mainline rail station or direct assess (junction) to the strategic road network (A road or motorway) Sites in Green Belt other than those covered by 14 and 15b that cannot meet these criteria, will not progress any further in this assessment of suitability.* Sites which have support from the local community	No	It is considered that the site does not have all of the listed merits that may outweigh harm to the Green Belt.			
100	as demonstrated through an allocation in an adopted or draft Neighbourhood Plan (that has been subject to Regulation 14 consultation) that do not meet the criteria in question 15a will automatically progress through this stage to be considered further at Stage 2.20	101				
Does	the site continue to next stage?		No			

 $^{^{20}}$ Draft Neighbourhood Plan allocations in Green Belt that are proposed after this site assessment phase has concluded, may still be considered for allocation.

Site Assessment Framework for HOUSING²¹

Site details		
Reference Number	NLP508	
Site Name	Land at Witts End (2)	
Site Address	Land at Witts End (2)	
Settlement	Eversholt	
Size	Submitted Developable Area: 0.66ha Submitted Whole Site Area: 0.66ha Measured GIS Area: 0.71ha	
Proposed Use	residential	
Any other information		

STAGE 1: SUITABILITY AND AVAILABILITY (EXCLUSIONARY STAGE)

This section will exclude any sites which do not pass the exclusionary suitability criteria and they will not be assessed further.

	GE 1A ASSESSMENT				
	This stage of the assessment rules out sites that are too small or conflict with national policy designations.				
Provi	sional Site Capacity				
1	Is the site likely to accommodate less than 10 dwellings? Work out the number of new homes from site size using density of 30dph and exclude up to 40 % depending on site size of land for infrastructure and services, take into account topography or significant areas of undevelopable land. Site Size Gross to net ratio standards • Up to 0.4 hectare 100% • 0.4 to 2 hectares 80% • 2 hectares or above 60% Note: for this calculation use the submitted Developable Area, or the area measured in GIS if this is smaller.	No	Number of proposed dwellings as per proforma: 6 Number of proposed dwellings as per CBC methodology: 17		
Flood	Risk (All sites which reach Stage 2 will be subject to the	ne Seque	ential Test)		
2	Is more than 50% of the site located in Flood Zone 2 or 3?	No	No more than 50% of the site located in Flood Zone 2 or 3		
3	Is more than 50% of the site at risk from surface water flooding?	No	No more than 50% of the site at risk from surface water flooding.		
Natio	nally significant designations (All sites which reach S	stage 2 b	e subject to detailed assessment)		
4	Is more than 50% of the site covered by nationally significant designations? These are: Sites of Special Scientific Interest, National Nature Reserves, Scheduled Monuments, Registered Parks and Gardens.	No	There are no nationally significant designations on site.		
5	Is more than 50% of the site located within the Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty?	No	The site is not within the AONB.		
Does	the site continue to next stage?		Yes		

²¹ Employment sites and Gypsy and Traveller sites will be assessed using separate bespoke site assessment criteria.

This stage of the assessment rules out sites that are not well related to existing settlements but are of an insufficient size to be self contained. It also rules out sites which would cause coalescence of existing towns or villages. For the purposes of this assessment, a self-contained site is defined as a site which will provide 1,500 homes or more²².

Relationship to Settlement

6	For sites that are not of a sufficient scale to be self- contained, is the site a logical extension to the settlement or are there any major physical constraints(for example A roads, rivers or railways) that separate it from the main settlement?	A	There is no settlement envelope in Eversholt as it comprises a number of clusters of detached dwellings. The site is located next to a small cluster of houses. The site may form an extension to this cluster.
7	Does the site cause coalescence between an existing village or town and another existing village or town? If yes, then grade as Amber if the site would be able to provide appropriate buffers or green wedges to mitigate this, or Red if it would not be possible for appropriate buffers to be provided leaving a reasonable developable area based on the individual context of the site.	G	The site does not cause coalescence between villages.
Does the site continue to next stage?			Yes

	SE 1C ASSESSMENT			
This s	This stage of the assessment rules out sites that are not able to meet their critical infrastructure needs ²³ .			
Critic	al Infrastructure			
8	Can the site meet the critical infrastructure	G	The site can provide the specific	
	requirements that will enable delivery ²⁴ ?		critical infrastructure.	
Does	the site continue to next stage?		Yes	

This stage of the assessment rules out sites that are not available. A site is considered available for

development where there are no legal or ownership problems and the landowner has expressed an intention to develop the site.

Availa	ability

STAGE 1D ASSESSMENT

Avaii	ranability		
9	What is the existing use of the site? Would the existing use limit the development potential?	G	The site is greenfield.
10	Is the land controlled by a developer or land owner who has expressed an intention to develop the site?	G	The land is controlled by a landowner intent on developing the site.
11	Are there any legal or ownership problems that could delay or prevent development? If Yes, then can these be issues be realistically overcome?	G	There are no known legal or ownership problems.
12	Does the site already have planning permission for	G	No planning permission

This is an assessment based on the information known at this stage, a full assessment of infrastructure requirements will be undertaken before any sites are allocated.

The figure of 1,500 homes has been taken from the Government Publication 'Locally-Led Garden Villages, Towns and Cities'. This defines the eligibility criteria for Garden Villages as standalone settlements of between 1,500 and 10,000 homes. (see https://www.gov.uk/government/uploads/system/uploads/attachment_data/file/508205/Locally-

led garden villages towns and cities.pdf)

23 Critical infrastructure is that which has been identified as infrastructure that must happen to enable physical development. These infrastructure items are often known as 'blockers' or 'showstoppers', and are most common in relation to transport and utilities infrastructure. Failure to provide these pieces of infrastructure could result in significant delays in the delivery of development.

the proposed use? If yes, then score as Red because it's not eligible for allocation.	
Does the site continue to next stage?	Yes/

Plan.			
Greenbelt			
13	Is the site located within the Green Belt?	Yes	Site located within the Green Belt.
14	If answer to question 13 is yes, then does the site lie within one of the parcels which have been identified in the Central Bedfordshire and Luton Green Belt Study as making only a relatively weak, weak, or no contribution? If yes, site progresses through to Stage 2.	No	Site not within an identified parcel.
15a	Does the site have all of the following merits that may outweigh the harm to the Green Belt and which may contribute to identification of exceptional circumstances? • Adjoining settlement has at least 3 of the following key local services - convenience shop, lower school, middle school, upper school, village hall, GP surgery, post office, library (use settlement audit) • Site makes a strong contribution to housing need (100 plus homes) within the Luton HMA • Site is in or directly adjacent to a settlement that has a mainline rail station or direct assess (junction) to the strategic road network (A road or motorway) Sites in Green Belt other than those covered by 14 and 15b that cannot meet these criteria, will not progress any further in this assessment of suitability.* Sites which have support from the local community as demonstrated through an allocation in an adopted	No	It is considered that the site does not have all of the listed merits that may outweigh harm to the Green Belt.
	or draft Neighbourhood Plan (that has been subject to Regulation 14 consultation) that do not meet the criteria in question 15a will automatically progress through this stage to be considered further at Stage 2.25		
Does the site continue to next stage?			No

²⁵ Draft Neighbourhood Plan allocations in Green Belt that are proposed after this site assessment phase has concluded, may still be considered for allocation.

