

Site Assessment Forms

Contents

ALP094	
NLP165	
NLP362	21
NLP452	
-	

Site Assessment Framework for HOUSING¹

Site details	
Reference Number	ALP094
Site Name	Green Lane
Site Address	Green Lane, Potton Road, Everton
Settlement	Everton
Size	Submitted Developable Area:0.85 ha
	Submitted Whole Site Area: 0.77ha
	Measured GIS Area: 0.96ha
Proposed Use	residential
Any other	
information	

STAGE 1 : SUITABILITY AND AVAILABILITY (EXCLUSIONARY STAGE)

This section will exclude any sites which do not pass the exclusionary suitability criteria and they will not be assessed further.

STAG	E 1A ASSESSMENT				
	This stage of the assessment rules out sites that are too small or conflict with national policy designations. Provisional Site Capacity				
1	Is the site likely to accommodate less than 10	No	Number of proposed dwellings as		
•	dwellings?	NU	per proforma:		
	Work out the number of new homes from site size		per protorma.		
	using density of 30dph and exclude up to 40 %		15		
	depending on site size of land for infrastructure and		15		
	services, take into account topography or significant				
	areas of undevelopable land.				
	Site Size Gross to net ratio standards		Number of proposed dwellings on		
	Up to 0.4 hectare 100%		Number of proposed dwellings as per CBC methodology:		
			per CBC methodology.		
			23		
	• 2 hectares or above 60%		23		
	Note: for this calculation use the submitted				
	Developable Area, or the area measured in GIS if				
	this is smaller.		utial Taat)		
-	Risk (All sites which reach Stage 2 will be subject to th				
2	Is more than 50% of the site located in Flood Zone 2	No	Site not in flood zone 2 or 3		
	or 3?				
3	Is more than 50% of the site at risk from surface	No	Site not at risk of surface water		
	water flooding?		flooding		
	nally significant designations (All sites which reach S				
4	Is more than 50% of the site covered by nationally	No	No nationally significant		
	significant designations? These are: Sites of Special		designations on site.		
	Scientific Interest, National Nature Reserves,				
	Scheduled Monuments, Registered Parks and				
-	Gardens.				
5	Is more than 50% of the site located within the Area	No	Site not within AONB		
	of Outstanding Natural Beauty?				
Does	the site continue to next stage?		Yes		

¹ Employment sites and Gypsy and Traveller sites will be assessed using separate bespoke site assessment criteria.

STAGE 1B ASSESSMENT This stage of the assessment rules out sites that are not well related to existing settlements but are of an insufficient size to be self contained. It also rules out sites which would cause coalescence of existing towns or villages. For the purposes of this assessment, a self-contained site is defined as a site which will provide 1,500 homes or more ² . Relationship to Settlement				
6	For sites that are not of a sufficient scale to be self- contained, is the site a logical extension to the settlement or are there any major physical constraints(for example A roads, rivers or railways) that separate it from the main settlement?	G	The site is located on the northern side of Potton Road and is located between the settlement envelope. It is considered that part of the site could be considered a logical extension to Everton.	
7	Does the site cause coalescence between an existing village or town and another existing village or town? If yes, then grade as Amber if the site would be able to provide appropriate buffers or green wedges to mitigate this, or Red if it would not be possible for appropriate buffers to be provided leaving a reasonable developable area based on the individual context of the site.	G	The site would not cause coalescence.	
Does	the site continue to next stage?		Yes	

STAGE 1C ASSESSMENT

This stage of the assessment rules out sites that are not able to meet their critical infrastructure needs³.Critical InfrastructureAFurther information required.8Can the site meet the critical infrastructure
requirements that will enable delivery⁴?AFurther information required.

Yes

Does the site continue to next stage?

STAGE 1D ASSESSMENT

This stage of the assessment rules out sites that are not available. A site is considered available for development where there are no legal or ownership problems and the landowner has expressed an intention to develop the site.

Avail	ability		
9	What is the existing use of the site? Would the existing use limit the development potential?	G	Unused and agricultural
10	Is the land controlled by a developer or land owner who has expressed an intention to develop the site?	G	Land is controlled by land owner intent on developing the site.
11	Are there any legal or ownership problems that could delay or prevent development? If Yes, then can these be issues be realistically overcome?	G	No known legal or ownership problems.
12	Does the site already have planning permission for the proposed use? If yes, then score as Red	G	No planning permission

² The figure of 1,500 homes has been taken from the Government Publication 'Locally-Led Garden Villages, Towns and Cities'. This defines the eligibility criteria for Garden Villages as standalone settlements of between 1,500 and 10,000 homes. (see https://www.gov.uk/government/uploads/system/uploads/attachment_data/file/508205/Locally-led_garden_villages_towns_and_cities.pdf)

age.

³ Critical infrastructure is that which has been identified as infrastructure that must happen to enable physical development. These infrastructure items are often known as 'blockers' or 'showstoppers', and are most common in relation to transport and utilities infrastructure. Failure to provide these pieces of infrastructure could result in significant delays in the delivery of development.

⁴ This is an assessment based on the information known at this stage, a full assessment of infrastructure requirements will be undertaken before any sites are allocated.

because it's not eligible for allocation.	
Does the site continue to next stage?	Yes

STAG	E 1E ASSESSMENT				
	This section records the findings of the Strategic Green Belt Review and also provides a preliminary				
	ning of sites to determine whether they may be capable				
	nstances. Any site in the Green Belt that is determined				
	sment would still have to demonstrate Exceptional Circl				
Plan.					
Greer	nbelt				
13	Is the site located within the Green Belt?	No	Site not within the Green Belt		
14	If answer to question 13 is yes, then does the site lie	Yes or	Details		
	within one of the parcels which have been identified	No			
	in the Central Bedfordshire and Luton Green Belt				
	Study as making only a relatively weak, weak, or no				
	contribution? If yes, site progresses through to Stage				
	2.				
15a	Does the site have all of the following merits that	Yes or	Details		
	may outweigh the harm to the Green Belt and which	No			
	may contribute to identification of exceptional	-			
	circumstances?				
	Adjoining settlement has at least 3 of the				
	following key local services - convenience				
	shop, lower school, middle school, upper				
	school, village hall, GP surgery, post office,				
	library (use settlement audit)				
	 Site makes a strong contribution to housing 				
	need (100 plus homes) within the Luton HMA				
	 Site is in or directly adjacent to a settlement 				
	that has a mainline rail station or direct				
	assess (junction) to the strategic road				
	network (A road or motorway) Sites in Green Belt other than those covered by 14				
	and 15b that cannot meet these criteria, will not				
	progress any further in this assessment of suitability.*				
15b	Sites which have support from the local community	Yes	Details		
	as demonstrated through an allocation in an adopted	100			
	or draft Neighbourhood Plan (that has been subject				
	to Regulation 14 consultation) that do not meet the				
	criteria in question 15a will automatically progress				
	through this stage to be considered further at Stage				
	$2.^{5}$				
Does	the site continue to next stage?		Yes		
	U				

STAGE 2 : SUITABILITY (DETAILED ASSESSMENT)

STAGE 2 ASSESSMENT

This stage further assesses the site's suitability using detailed desktop assessment. A red rating for any question does not mean that the site will be automatically excluded at this stage as the ratings across Stage 2A will be looked at as a whole using planning balance.

16	Is the site Previously Developed Land in accordance	R	Greenfield
	with the NPPF definition?		

⁵ Draft Neighbourhood Plan allocations in Green Belt that are proposed after this site assessment phase has concluded, may still be considered for allocation.

	700/ 4000/ (0)		
	• 76% - 100% (G)		
	• 26 - 75% (A)		
C = 100 10	• 25% - 0% (Greenfield) (R)		
	nunity	Ne	No. decimento d Najable quebe a d
17	Neighbourhood Planning (only applicable in	No	No designated Neighbourhood Plan
	designated areas) Is the site identified as a housing allocation in an		FIdII
18	emerging Neighbourhood Plan? Community Consultation	No	No known community concultation
10	Has any community consultation taken place?	INO	No known community consultation
	If yes, provide brief details on the form this		
	consultation took and any overall community		
	• •		
19	response. Sustainability of Settlement	No	The proposal would not have a
13	Would this proposal impact on the sustainability of		negative impact on the
	the settlement through the loss of services and		sustainability of Everton.
	facilities (for example, employment, retail, public		Sustainability of Eventon.
	house etc)		
Cum	Ilative Impact		
20	Considering housing completions over the past 10	G	Homes 2006: 217
	years, what has been the level of housing growth in		Homes 2000: 217
	the parish?		
	 Less than 5% growth (G) 		There has been a 4.6% increase in
	 5% to 20% growth (A) 		housing development.
	 More than 20% growth (R) 		nedenig development.
	This is calculated by working out the total number of		
	completions over the last ten years as a percentage		
	of the dwellings in April 2006 (as calculated using		
	census and completions data).		
21	What level of housing growth would there be if all the	G	There is outstanding planning
	outstanding permissions (as of April 2016) were to	_	permission for no new dwellings.
	be completed?		
	 Less than 5% growth (G) 		
	• 5% to 20% growth (A)		
	More than 20% growth (R)		
	This is calculated by working out the total number of		
	outstanding permissions as of April 1st 2016 as		
	percentage of the total number of dwellings in April		
	2016 (as calculated using census and completions		
	data).		
Physi	cal Constraints		
22	Are there any physical constraints or permanent	G	There are no known physical
	features that affect the site's developability?		constraints.
	For example pylons, gas works, sewage treatment		
	works, topography or wind turbines.		
-	onship to Settlement		
23	Would development of the site be complementary to	G	There is development along Potton
	the existing settlement pattern, and would it have an		Road and the existing development
	adverse impact on any historic, unique or distinctive		does extend northwards.
	characteristics of the settlement's built or natural		
A	form?		
	ultural Land Quality		Crode 2
24	Would the development impact on high quality	А	Grade 3.
	agricultural land?		
	• 50% or more in non-agricultural land (G)		
	 50% of more in Grade 3b, 4 or 5 (A) 		

Page 6

 50% or more in Grade 1, 2 or 3a (R)

OT A C			
	GE 2 ASSESSMENT stage further assesses the site's suitability using comme	nte from	tochnical specialists. A rod rating for
	uestion does not mean that the site will be automatically		
	2B will be looked at as a whole using planning balance		a at this stage as the ratings across
	sport and Access to Services	· <u>·</u>	
25	Facilities and services		
-	Question 26 considers the suitability and sustainability	of the sit	e for housing. It links to the
	Council's Settlement Hierarchy Audit.		c c
	Issues relating to capacity are assessed separately		
25a	Does the settlement have a Primary/Lower school?	G	In settlement
	 Yes, in the settlement (G) 		
	• Yes, proposed as part of the development (G)		
	 No, but an adjoining settlement does (A) 		
	 Not in the settlement or an adjoining 		
	settlement (R)		
25b	Does the settlement have a Middle school (if	А	In adjoining settlement
	applicable)?		Ť.
	• Yes, in the settlement (G)		
	• Yes, proposed as part of the development (G)		
	 No, but an adjoining settlement does (A) Other set level as a set level by (A) 		
25.0	Other catchment school available (A)	Λ	Adiaining actilement
25c	Does the settlement have a Secondary/ Upper school?	А	Adjoining settlement
	Yes, in the settlement (G)		
	 Yes, proposed as part of the development (G) 		
	 No, but an adjoining settlement does (A) 		
	 Other catchment school available (A) 		
25d	Does the settlement have a GPs surgery or medical	A	Adjoining settlement
	centre?		
	• Yes, in the settlement (G)		
	• Yes, proposed as part of the development (G)		
	No, but an adjoining settlement does (A)		
	 Not in the settlement or an adjoining 		
	settlement (R)		
26	What retail provision does the settlement offer?	R	None
	 Town Centre/ Supermarket (G) 		
	Convenience Store / Post Office / Newsagent		
	(A)		
	None (R)		
27	Distance to bus stops with a frequent service (at	G	261m
	least hourly at peak times):		
	• Less than 400m (G)		
	• 400m-800m (A)		
	 Over 800m (R) OR submission form stated that improved 		
	 OR submission form stated that improved public transport facilities could be provided as 		
	public transport facilities could be provided as part of the development (G)		
28	Distance to nearest train station:	R	Over 1200m
20	Less than 800m (G)		
	 800m-1200m (A) 		
	 Over 1200m (R) 		
			l

 Page 7

29	Is the site accessible from the existing road network?	G	Potton Road
	ol Capacity		
30	Do the local schools have capacity at all tiers?	R	The lower school site cannot accommodate expansion so additional land or a new site would be required to cater for any significant development within Everton. There is some existing middle and upper school capacity to manage development.
31	If not, has a commitment been made to address this?	R	New site for school required. Proposed site too small to facilitate this.
Wate	r Utilities (Gas, Electricity and Broadband Infrastruc	ture will	
32	Is there the capacity to provide all required infrastructure for waste water and potable water?	R/A/G	Water utilities companies have a statutory duty to supply water and waste water infrastructure to new development sites and a lack of available capacity does not prevent future development. Any infrastructure upgrades required will depend on the quantum and location of growth falling within each catchment area. Whilst the Stage 1 Water Cycle Study (April 2017) identifies the current capacity of existing water infrastructure, a Stage 2 study will be prepared to test the cumulative effect of sites that have been shortlisted for allocation in the Local Plan and identify the nature and timing of any upgrades required
Drain	age and Flooding (All sites subject to Sequential Te		
33	 What is the conclusion of the sequential approach to site allocations, in regards to flood risk? No assessment required (G) Consider Further Assessment (A) Further Assessment Required (R) 	G	Site is at limited risk of surface water flooding, assessment is unlikely to be required
Envir	onmental Health		
34	Contamination Are there any contamination constraints on site and will there be any remediation required?	R/A/G	Awaiting comments
35 Envir	Adjoining uses Would any adjoining uses have the potential to cause conflict with the proposed use? (for example; noise and smell)	A	Potential noise from road
	onmental Constraints		Enclosed aits offering potential for
36	Landscape character What would the impacts of development be on the landscape character or setting of the area or any designated landscapes? Would there be any direct or indirect harm to the Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty or the Nature Improvement Area?	G	Enclosed site offering potential for development if boundary features conserved.
37	Heritage/ Archaeology What would the impacts of development be on any	A	Site has archaeological potential but this would not prevent

Page8

	heritage assets and their setting?		allocation providing appropriate
	Are there any opportunities for enhancement of		mitigation is undertaken.
	these assets?		
38	Ecological Assets	А	In NIA, retain, buffer and enhance
	What would the impacts of development be on any		existing mature trees and
	biological, geological or ecological assets and are		hedgerows, looks like old grassland
	there any opportunities for their enhancement?		so potentially HPI.
39	Open space/leisure and GI assets	A/G	Within Greensand Ridge NIA,
	Are there any potential conflicts with open space,		would need to enhance woodland /
	leisure designations or Rights of Way? Is there		hedgerow connectivity.
	capacity to provide the required levels of open space		
	and green infrastructure?		
Mine	rals and Waste		
40	What would the impacts of development be on	G	No issues
	safeguarded minerals and waste sites, including		
	mineral safeguarding sites?		
Plan	ning History		
41	What is the sites planning history? (For example		No relevant planning history
	planning applications and submissions to previous		
	Allocations Plans)		
Does	the site continue to next stage?		Yes

STAGE 2 ASSESSMENT CONCLUSION

Is the site suitable for the proposed development?

The site is to be considered further.

- There are no primary constraints to development on the site.
- It is considered that the development will have no adverse impact on the settlement pattern or character of the village.
- Any development will need to contribute to the education provision in the village.

STAGE 3 : ACHIEVABILITY

This section assesses whether the site is Achievable in line with NPPG Guidance: A site is considered achievable for development where there is a reasonable prospect that the particular type of development will be developed on the site at a particular point in time. This is essentially a judgement about the economic viability of the site, and the capacity of the developer to complete and let or sell the development over a certain period.

Viabi	lity		
43	 Referring to the Viability Assessment undertaken by consultants, is the probability of the site being viable high, medium or low? High (G) Benchmark land value comfortably exceeded by likely residual value Low (A) Marginal viability, with likely residual land value close to benchmark land value Very Low (R) Likely residual value well below benchmark land value 	G	The Council's Residential Development Viability Report (Feb 2017) indicates that residual value of development in this value area and at this scale would exceed both the upper and lower benchmark land values and as such the report indicates that such development would likely be viable
Achie	evability		
44	Are there any market factors which would affect deliverability?		Existing agricultural tenancies. The Council's Residential Development Viability Report (Feb 2017) is based upon residential property figures between 2013 and

45	When can the scheme realistically commence delivery? • 0 to 5 years (deliverable) • 6 to 10 years • 11 to 15 years • 11 to 15 years • 15 to 20 years • Outside Plan Period	2016. The housing market within Central Bedfordshire has seen significant increases in residential property values in a relatively short period of time, whereby it is considered that the viability of developments within this report has been cautious. For example in 2016 Dunstable has benefited from a 17.9% housing price increase with an average annual house price increase in 2016 for housing within Central Bedfordshire of 10.74%. This increase in property value has been a result of not only national trends in house prices and existing transport links to economically successful areas but also significant infrastructure projects within the pipeline including: East- West Rail; M1-A5 link road; A421 upgrades; Oxford to Cambridge Express Way; Luton and Dunstable Guided Busway; M1 improvements; and potential A1 improvements. It is considered that as such infrastructure projects progress that property prices within the Local Authority will likely continue to increase which has and will increase viability/deliverability of development not only in the higher value areas of the Authority. Within 5 years
46 Does	What is the indicative build out time of the site?	The Case Study Sites outlined within the Council's Residential Development Viability Report (Feb 2017) indicates that after the site has received detailed planning permission a single housebuilder would likely take one year to build out this site.Yes

SUMMARY

The sites that pass through this assessment process will not automatically be allocated for development in the Local Plan.

Page10

Sites will be selected with reference to a number of other factors including:

Page -

- The strategy, vision and objectives proposed in the draft plan
- Technical evidence studies
- The sustainability appraisal process
- The results of public consultation
- Flood Risk Sequential Approach
- Further transport modelling
- Consultation with neighbouring authorities

Site Assessment Framework for HOUSING⁶

Site details	
Reference Number	NLP165
Site Name	Land at Manor Farm
Site Address	Land at Manor Farm
Settlement	Everton
Size	Submitted Developable Area:0.8ha Submitted Whole Site Area: 0.8ha Measured GIS Area: 0.81ha
Proposed Use	residential
Any other information	

STAGE 1 : SUITABILITY AND AVAILABILITY (EXCLUSIONARY STAGE)

This section will exclude any sites which do not pass the exclusionary suitability criteria and they will not be assessed further.

STAG	E 1A ASSESSMENT		
This s	tage of the assessment rules out sites that are too small	ll or conf	lict with national policy designations.
Provi	sional Site Capacity		
1	Is the site likely to accommodate less than 10 dwellings? Work out the number of new homes from site size using density of 30dph and exclude up to 40 % depending on site size of land for infrastructure and services, take into account topography or significant areas of undevelopable land. Site Size Gross to net ratio standards • Up to 0.4 hectare 100% • 0.4 to 2 hectares 80% • 2 hectares or above 60% Note: for this calculation use the submitted Developable Area, or the area measured in GIS if this is smaller.	No	Number of proposed dwellings as per proforma: 15 Number of proposed dwellings as per CBC methodology: 19
Flood	Risk (All sites which reach Stage 2 will be subject to th	ne Seque	ntial Test)
2	Is more than 50% of the site located in Flood Zone 2 or 3?	No	Site not in flood zone 2 or 3
3	Is more than 50% of the site at risk from surface water flooding?	No	Site not at risk of surface water flooding
Natio	nally significant designations (All sites which reach S	tage 2 b	e subject to detailed assessment)
4	Is more than 50% of the site covered by nationally significant designations? These are: Sites of Special Scientific Interest, National Nature Reserves, Scheduled Monuments, Registered Parks and Gardens.	No	No nationally significant designations on site.
5	Is more than 50% of the site located within the Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty?	No	Site not within AONB
Does	the site continue to next stage?		Yes

Page L

⁶ Employment sites and Gypsy and Traveller sites will be assessed using separate bespoke site assessment criteria.

This s insuffi towns provid	E 1B ASSESSMENT tage of the assessment rules out sites that are not well cient size to be self contained. It also rules out sites wh or villages. For the purposes of this assessment, a sel e 1,500 homes or more ⁷ .	ich would	d cause coalescence of existing
6	For sites that are not of a sufficient scale to be self- contained, is the site a logical extension to the settlement or are there any major physical constraints(for example A roads, rivers or railways) that separate it from the main settlement?	G	The site is located on the southern side of Potton Road and is located between the settlement envelope. It is considered that part of the site could be considered a logical extension to Everton.
7	Does the site cause coalescence between an existing village or town and another existing village or town? If yes, then grade as Amber if the site would be able to provide appropriate buffers or green wedges to mitigate this, or Red if it would not be possible for appropriate buffers to be provided leaving a reasonable developable area based on the individual context of the site.	G	The site would not cause coalescence.
Does	the site continue to next stage?		Yes/ No

STAGE 1C ASSESSMENT

This stage of the assessment rules out sites that are not able to meet their critical infrastructure needs8.Critical InfrastructureGCritical infrastructure can be8Can the site meet the critical infrastructureGCritical infrastructure can be

0	Can the site meet the childer initiastructure	G	Chilical Infrastructure can be
	requirements that will enable delivery ⁹ ?		provided.
Does	the site continue to next stage?		Yes

STAGE 1D ASSESSMENT

This stage of the assessment rules out sites that are not available. A site is considered available for development where there are no legal or ownership problems and the landowner has expressed an intention to develop the site.

Availa	ability		
9	What is the existing use of the site? Would the existing use limit the development potential?	G	Unused and agricultural. Agricultural buildings need to be demolished.
10	Is the land controlled by a developer or land owner who has expressed an intention to develop the site?	G	Land is controlled by land owner intent on developing the site.
11	Are there any legal or ownership problems that could delay or prevent development? If Yes, then can these be issues be realistically overcome?	A	There is an agricultural holdings tenancies affecting the land at the site, but the appropriate notices can be served on the tenant and would not delay development.
12	Does the site already have planning permission for	G	No planning permission

⁷ The figure of 1,500 homes has been taken from the Government Publication 'Locally-Led Garden Villages, Towns and Cities'. This defines the eligibility criteria for Garden Villages as standalone settlements of between 1,500 and 10,000 homes. (see https://www.gov.uk/government/uploads/system/uploads/attachment_data/file/508205/Locally-led garden villages towns and cities.pdf)

Page.

⁸ Critical infrastructure is that which has been identified as infrastructure that must happen to enable physical development. These infrastructure items are often known as 'blockers' or 'showstoppers', and are most common in relation to transport and utilities infrastructure. Failure to provide these pieces of infrastructure could result in significant delays in the delivery of development.

⁹ This is an assessment based on the information known at this stage, a full assessment of infrastructure requirements will be undertaken before any sites are allocated.

the proposed use? If yes, then score as Red because it's not eligible for allocation.	
Does the site continue to next stage?	Yes

STAGE 1E ASSESSMENT

This section records the findings of the Strategic Green Belt Review and also provides a preliminary screening of sites to determine whether they <u>may</u> be capable of demonstrating Exceptional Circumstances. Any site in the Green Belt that is determined as suitable based on the high level SHLAA assessment would still have to demonstrate Exceptional Circumstances to considered for allocation in the Plan.

Green			
13	Is the site located within the Green Belt?	No	Site not in the green belt
14	If answer to question 13 is yes, then does the site lie within one of the parcels which have been identified in the Central Bedfordshire and Luton Green Belt Study as making only a relatively weak, weak, or no contribution? If yes, site progresses through to Stage 2.	Yes or No	Details
15a	 Does the site have all of the following merits that may outweigh the harm to the Green Belt and which may contribute to identification of exceptional circumstances? Adjoining settlement has at least 3 of the following key local services - convenience shop, lower school, middle school, upper school, village hall, GP surgery, post office, library (use settlement audit) Site makes a strong contribution to housing need (100 plus homes) within the Luton HMA Site is in or directly adjacent to a settlement that has a mainline rail station or direct assess (junction) to the strategic road network (A road or motorway) Sites in Green Belt other than those covered by 14 and 15b that cannot meet these criteria, will not progress any further in this assessment of suitability.* 	Yes or No Yes	Details
	as demonstrated through an allocation in an adopted or draft Neighbourhood Plan (that has been subject to Regulation 14 consultation) that do not meet the criteria in question 15a will automatically progress through this stage to be considered further at Stage 2. ¹⁰	165	
Does	the site continue to next stage?		Yes
			1

STAG	E 2 : SUITABILITY (DETAILED ASSESSMENT)			
STAG	SE 2 ASSESSMENT			
	stage further assesses the site's suitability using detailed			
	question does not mean that the site will be automatically excluded at this stage as the ratings across			
Stage	Stage 2A will be looked at as a whole using planning balance.			
Previ	Previously Developed Land			
16	Is the site Previously Developed Land in accordance	R	Greenfield	

Page 1

¹⁰ Draft Neighbourhood Plan allocations in Green Belt that are proposed after this site assessment phase has concluded, may still be considered for allocation.

	with the NDDE definition?		
	with the NPPF definition?		
	• 76% - 100% (G)		
	• 26 - 75% (A)		
	 25% - 0% (Greenfield) (R) 		
	nunity	r	
17	Neighbourhood Planning (only applicable in	No	No designated Neighbourhood
	designated areas)		Plan
	Is the site identified as a housing allocation in an		
	emerging Neighbourhood Plan?		
18	Community Consultation	No	No known community consultation
	Has any community consultation taken place?		
	If yes, provide brief details on the form this		
	consultation took and any overall community		
	response.		
19	Sustainability of Settlement	No	The proposal would not have a
15	Would this proposal impact on the sustainability of		negative impact on the
	the settlement through the loss of services and		sustainability of Everton.
			Sustainability of Eventon.
	facilities (for example, employment, retail, public		
•	house etc)		
	Ilative Impact		
20	Considering housing completions over the past 10	G	Homes 2006: 217
	years, what has been the level of housing growth in		Homes 2016: 227
	the parish?		
	 Less than 5% growth (G) 		There has been a 4.6% increase in
	 5% to 20% growth (A) 		housing development.
	 More than 20% growth (R) 		
	This is calculated by working out the total number of		
	completions over the last ten years as a percentage		
	of the dwellings in April 2006 (as calculated using		
	census and completions data).		
21	What level of housing growth would there be if all the	G	There is outstanding planning
	outstanding permissions (as of April 2016) were to		permission for no new dwellings.
	be completed?		1
	Less than 5% growth (G)		
	 Less than 5% growth (G) 5% to 20% growth (A) 		
	• 5% to 20% growth (A)		
	 5% to 20% growth (A) More than 20% growth (R) 		
	 5% to 20% growth (A) More than 20% growth (R) This is calculated by working out the total number of 		
	 5% to 20% growth (A) More than 20% growth (R) This is calculated by working out the total number of outstanding permissions as of April 1st 2016 as 		
	 5% to 20% growth (A) More than 20% growth (R) This is calculated by working out the total number of outstanding permissions as of April 1st 2016 as percentage of the total number of dwellings in April 		
	 5% to 20% growth (A) More than 20% growth (R) This is calculated by working out the total number of outstanding permissions as of April 1st 2016 as percentage of the total number of dwellings in April 2016 (as calculated using census and completions) 		
	 5% to 20% growth (A) More than 20% growth (R) This is calculated by working out the total number of outstanding permissions as of April 1st 2016 as percentage of the total number of dwellings in April 2016 (as calculated using census and completions data). 		
	 5% to 20% growth (A) More than 20% growth (R) This is calculated by working out the total number of outstanding permissions as of April 1st 2016 as percentage of the total number of dwellings in April 2016 (as calculated using census and completions data). cal Constraints 		
Physi 22	 5% to 20% growth (A) More than 20% growth (R) This is calculated by working out the total number of outstanding permissions as of April 1st 2016 as percentage of the total number of dwellings in April 2016 (as calculated using census and completions data). cal Constraints Are there any physical constraints or permanent 	G	There are no known physical
	 5% to 20% growth (A) More than 20% growth (R) This is calculated by working out the total number of outstanding permissions as of April 1st 2016 as percentage of the total number of dwellings in April 2016 (as calculated using census and completions data). cal Constraints Are there any physical constraints or permanent features that affect the site's developability? 	G	There are no known physical constraints.
	 5% to 20% growth (A) More than 20% growth (R) This is calculated by working out the total number of outstanding permissions as of April 1st 2016 as percentage of the total number of dwellings in April 2016 (as calculated using census and completions data). cal Constraints Are there any physical constraints or permanent features that affect the site's developability? For example pylons, gas works, sewage treatment 	G	
22	 5% to 20% growth (A) More than 20% growth (R) This is calculated by working out the total number of outstanding permissions as of April 1st 2016 as percentage of the total number of dwellings in April 2016 (as calculated using census and completions data). cal Constraints Are there any physical constraints or permanent features that affect the site's developability? For example pylons, gas works, sewage treatment works, topography or wind turbines. 	G	
22 Relati	 5% to 20% growth (A) More than 20% growth (R) This is calculated by working out the total number of outstanding permissions as of April 1st 2016 as percentage of the total number of dwellings in April 2016 (as calculated using census and completions data). cal Constraints Are there any physical constraints or permanent features that affect the site's developability? For example pylons, gas works, sewage treatment works, topography or wind turbines. 		
22	 5% to 20% growth (A) More than 20% growth (R) This is calculated by working out the total number of outstanding permissions as of April 1st 2016 as percentage of the total number of dwellings in April 2016 (as calculated using census and completions data). cal Constraints Are there any physical constraints or permanent features that affect the site's developability? For example pylons, gas works, sewage treatment works, topography or wind turbines. 	G	constraints. There is development along Potton
22 Relati	 5% to 20% growth (A) More than 20% growth (R) This is calculated by working out the total number of outstanding permissions as of April 1st 2016 as percentage of the total number of dwellings in April 2016 (as calculated using census and completions data). cal Constraints Are there any physical constraints or permanent features that affect the site's developability? For example pylons, gas works, sewage treatment works, topography or wind turbines. 		constraints.
22 Relati	 5% to 20% growth (A) More than 20% growth (R) This is calculated by working out the total number of outstanding permissions as of April 1st 2016 as percentage of the total number of dwellings in April 2016 (as calculated using census and completions data). cal Constraints Are there any physical constraints or permanent features that affect the site's developability? For example pylons, gas works, sewage treatment works, topography or wind turbines. ionship to Settlement Would development of the site be complementary to 		constraints. There is development along Potton
22 Relati	 5% to 20% growth (A) More than 20% growth (R) This is calculated by working out the total number of outstanding permissions as of April 1st 2016 as percentage of the total number of dwellings in April 2016 (as calculated using census and completions data). cal Constraints Are there any physical constraints or permanent features that affect the site's developability? For example pylons, gas works, sewage treatment works, topography or wind turbines. conship to Settlement Would development of the site be complementary to the existing settlement pattern, and would it have an adverse impact on any historic, unique or distinctive 		constraints. There is development along Potton Road and the development would be located between existing
22 Relati	 5% to 20% growth (A) More than 20% growth (R) This is calculated by working out the total number of outstanding permissions as of April 1st 2016 as percentage of the total number of dwellings in April 2016 (as calculated using census and completions data). cal Constraints Are there any physical constraints or permanent features that affect the site's developability? For example pylons, gas works, sewage treatment works, topography or wind turbines. ionship to Settlement Would development of the site be complementary to the existing settlement pattern, and would it have an adverse impact on any historic, unique or distinctive characteristics of the settlement's built or natural 		constraints. There is development along Potton Road and the development would be located between existing buildings in the settlement
22 Relati 23	 5% to 20% growth (A) More than 20% growth (R) This is calculated by working out the total number of outstanding permissions as of April 1st 2016 as percentage of the total number of dwellings in April 2016 (as calculated using census and completions data). cal Constraints Are there any physical constraints or permanent features that affect the site's developability? For example pylons, gas works, sewage treatment works, topography or wind turbines. ionship to Settlement Would development of the site be complementary to the existing settlement pattern, and would it have an adverse impact on any historic, unique or distinctive characteristics of the settlement's built or natural form? 		constraints. There is development along Potton Road and the development would be located between existing
22 Relati 23 Agrici	 5% to 20% growth (A) More than 20% growth (R) This is calculated by working out the total number of outstanding permissions as of April 1st 2016 as percentage of the total number of dwellings in April 2016 (as calculated using census and completions data). cal Constraints Are there any physical constraints or permanent features that affect the site's developability? For example pylons, gas works, sewage treatment works, topography or wind turbines. onship to Settlement Would development of the site be complementary to the existing settlement pattern, and would it have an adverse impact on any historic, unique or distinctive characteristics of the settlement's built or natural form? ultural Land Quality 	G	constraints. There is development along Potton Road and the development would be located between existing buildings in the settlement envelope.
22 Relati 23	 5% to 20% growth (A) More than 20% growth (R) This is calculated by working out the total number of outstanding permissions as of April 1st 2016 as percentage of the total number of dwellings in April 2016 (as calculated using census and completions data). cal Constraints Are there any physical constraints or permanent features that affect the site's developability? For example pylons, gas works, sewage treatment works, topography or wind turbines. fonship to Settlement Would development of the site be complementary to the existing settlement pattern, and would it have an adverse impact on any historic, unique or distinctive characteristics of the settlement's built or natural form? Would the development impact on high quality		constraints. There is development along Potton Road and the development would be located between existing buildings in the settlement
22 Relati 23 Agrici	 5% to 20% growth (A) More than 20% growth (R) This is calculated by working out the total number of outstanding permissions as of April 1st 2016 as percentage of the total number of dwellings in April 2016 (as calculated using census and completions data). cal Constraints Are there any physical constraints or permanent features that affect the site's developability? For example pylons, gas works, sewage treatment works, topography or wind turbines. onship to Settlement Would development of the site be complementary to the existing settlement pattern, and would it have an adverse impact on any historic, unique or distinctive characteristics of the settlement's built or natural form? ultural Land Quality 	G	constraints. There is development along Potton Road and the development would be located between existing buildings in the settlement envelope.

٠	50% of more in Grade 3b, 4 or 5 (A)	
•	50% or more in Grade 1, 2 or 3a (R)	

STAC	GE 2 ASSESSMENT		
	stage further assesses the site's suitability using comme	ents from	technical specialists. A red rating for
	uestion does not mean that the site will be automatically		
	2B will be looked at as a whole using planning balance		
	sport and Access to Services		
25	Facilities and services		
	Question 26 considers the suitability and sustainability	of the si	te for housing. It links to the
	Council's Settlement Hierarchy Audit.		g
	···· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
	Issues relating to capacity are assessed separately		
25a	Does the settlement have a Primary/Lower school?	G	In settlement
	 Yes, in the settlement (G) 		
	• Yes, proposed as part of the development (G)		
	No, but an adjoining settlement does (A)		
	Not in the settlement or an adjoining		
	settlement (R)		
25b	Does the settlement have a Middle school (if	A	In adjoining settlement
	applicable)?		
	 Yes, in the settlement (G) 		
	• Yes, proposed as part of the development (G)		
	 No, but an adjoining settlement does (A) 		
	Other catchment school available (A)		
25c	Does the settlement have a Secondary/ Upper	A	Adjoining settlement
	school?		
	Yes, in the settlement (G)		
	• Yes, proposed as part of the development (G)		
	 No, but an adjoining settlement does (A) 		
	Other catchment school available (A)		
25d	Does the settlement have a GPs surgery or medical	А	Adjoining settlement
	centre?		
	• Yes, in the settlement (G)		
	• Yes, proposed as part of the development (G)		
	 No, but an adjoining settlement does (A) 		
	Not in the settlement or an adjoining		
00	settlement (R)		News
26	What retail provision does the settlement offer?	R	None
	Town Centre/ Supermarket (G) Convenience Store (Deet Office (Neurogent		
	 Convenience Store / Post Office / Newsagent 		
	(A) None (B)		
27	None (R) Distance to bus stops with a frequent service (at	G	106m
21	least hourly at peak times):	G	10011
	Less than 400m (G)		
	 400m-800m (A) 		
	 Over 800m (R) 		
	 OR submission form stated that improved public transport facilities could be provided as 		
	public transport facilities could be provided as part of the development (G)		
28	Distance to nearest train station:	R	Over 1200m
20	Less than 800m (G)		
	 B00m-1200m (A) 		
		1	

Page 16

	• Over 1200m (R)		
29	Is the site accessible from the existing road network?	G	Potton Road
	ol Capacity		
30	Do the local schools have capacity at all tiers?	R	The lower school site cannot accommodate expansion so additional land or a new site would be required to cater for any significant development within Everton. There is some existing middle and upper school capacity to manage development.
31	If not, has a commitment been made to address this?	R	New site for school required. Proposed site too small to facilitate this.
Wate	r Utilities (Gas, Electricity and Broadband Infrastruc	ture will	be assessed at a later stage)
32	Is there the capacity to provide all required infrastructure for waste water and potable water?	R/A/G	Water utilities companies have a statutory duty to supply water and waste water infrastructure to new development sites and a lack of available capacity does not prevent future development. Any infrastructure upgrades required will depend on the quantum and location of growth falling within each catchment area. Whilst the Stage 1 Water Cycle Study (April 2017) identifies the current capacity of existing water infrastructure, a Stage 2 study will be prepared to test the cumulative effect of sites that have been shortlisted for allocation in the Local Plan and identify the nature and timing of any upgrades required
Drain	age and Flooding (All sites subject to Sequential Te	est)	
33 Envir	 What is the conclusion of the sequential approach to site allocations, in regards to flood risk? No assessment required (G) Consider Further Assessment (A) Further Assessment Required (R) 	Ĝ	Site is at limited risk of surface water flooding, assessment is unlikely to be required
	contential Health		Amoiting comments
34	Contamination Are there any contamination constraints on site and will there be any remediation required?	R/A/G	Awaiting comments
35	Adjoining uses Would any adjoining uses have the potential to cause conflict with the proposed use? (for example; noise and smell)	A	Potential noise from road
	onmental Constraints	1	
36	Landscape character What would the impacts of development be on the landscape character or setting of the area or any designated landscapes? Would there be any direct or indirect harm to the Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty or the Nature Improvement Area?	A	Site important for village context , provides views of farmland and garden trees , but scope if high quality design creating sense of place.
37	Heritage/ Archaeology	А	Site has archaeological potential

Page17

38	What would the impacts of development be on any heritage assets and their setting? Are there any opportunities for enhancement of these assets? Ecological Assets What would the impacts of development be on any	A	but this would not prevent allocation providing appropriate mitigation is undertaken. Sensitive but possible. In NIA, possible SPI in farm buildings, opportunity for
	biological, geological or ecological assets and are there any opportunities for their enhancement?		enhancement.
39	Open space/leisure and GI assets Are there any potential conflicts with open space, leisure designations or Rights of Way? Is there capacity to provide the required levels of open space and green infrastructure?	G/A	Within NIA, though limited surrounding habitat.
Miner	rals and Waste		
40	What would the impacts of development be on safeguarded minerals and waste sites, including mineral safeguarding sites?	G	No issues
Plann	hing History		
41	What is the sites planning history? (For example planning applications and submissions to previous Allocations Plans)		The site has been promoted through previous 'call for sites' processes, including in 2007, 2012 and 2015. The information contained in the 2015 submission is still relevant, and should be referred to the Council's assessment of the site.
Does	the site continue to next stage?		Yes

STAGE 2 ASSESSMENT CONCLUSION

Is the site suitable for the proposed development?

The site is to be considered further.

- There are no primary constraints to development on the site.
- It is considered that the development will have no adverse impact on the settlement pattern or character of the village.
- Any development will need to contribute to the education provision in the village.
- Development would need to be sensitive to the heritage assets in the village.

STAGE 3 : ACHIEVABILITY

This section assesses whether the site is Achievable in line with NPPG Guidance: A site is considered achievable for development where there is a reasonable prospect that the particular type of development will be developed on the site at a particular point in time. This is essentially a judgement about the economic viability of the site, and the capacity of the developer to complete and let or sell the development over a certain period.

Viabil	Viability				
43	 Referring to the Viability Assessment undertaken by consultants, is the probability of the site being viable high, medium or low? High (G) Benchmark land value comfortably exceeded by likely residual value Low (A) Marginal viability, with likely residual land value close to benchmark land value Very Low (R) Likely residual value well below 	G	The Council's Residential Development Viability Report (Feb 2017) indicates that residual value of development in this value area and at this scale would exceed both the upper and lower benchmark land values and as such the report indicates that such		

	benchmark land value	development would likely be viable
	evability	
44	Are there any market factors which would affect deliverability?	 Existing agricultural tenancies. The Council's Residential Development Viability Report (Feb 2017) is based upon residential property figures between 2013 and 2016. The housing market within Central Bedfordshire has seen significant increases in residential property values in a relatively short period of time, whereby it is considered that the viability of developments within this report has been cautious. For example in 2016 Dunstable has benefited from a 17.9% housing price increase with an average annual house price increase in 2016 for housing within Central Bedfordshire of 10.74%. This increase in property value has been a result of not only national trends in house prices and existing transport links to economically successful areas but also significant infrastructure projects within the pipeline including: East- West Rail; M1-A5 link road; A421 upgrades; Oxford to Cambridge Express Way; Luton and Dunstable Guided Busway; M1 improvements. It is considered that as such infrastructure projects progress that property prices within the Local Authority will likely continue to increase which has and will increase viability/deliverability of development not only in the higher value areas but also the lower
45	 When can the scheme realistically commence delivery? 0 to 5 years (deliverable) 6 to 10 years 11 to 15 years 15 to 20 years Outside Plan Period 	value areas of the Authority. Within 5 years
46	What is the indicative build out time of the site?	The Case Study Sites outlined within the Council's Residential Development Viability Report (Feb 2017) indicates that after the site has received detailed planning permission a single housebuilder would likely take one year to build out this site.

 $P_{age} 19$

Does the site pa	ass this stage?
------------------	-----------------

SUMMARY

The sites that pass through this assessment process will not automatically be allocated for development in the Local Plan.

Sites will be selected with reference to a number of other factors including:

- The strategy, vision and objectives proposed in the draft plan
- Technical evidence studies
- The sustainability appraisal process
- The results of public consultation
- Flood Risk Sequential Approach
- Further transport modelling
- Consultation with neighbouring authorities

Yes

Site Assessment Framework for HOUSING¹¹

NLP362			
Land at 21 Sandy Road			
Land at 21 Sandy Road			
Everton			
Submitted Developable Area:0.35ha Submitted Whole Site Area: 0.35ha Measured GIS Area: 0.34ha			
residential			
Allocated site HA20 Planning application 16/04926 for 7 dwellings			
	Land at 21 Sandy Road Everton Submitted Developable Area:0.35ha Submitted Whole Site Area: 0.35ha Measured GIS Area: 0.34ha residential		

STAGE 1 : SUITABILITY AND AVAILABILITY (EXCLUSIONARY STAGE) This section will exclude any sites which do not pass the exclusionary suitability criteria and they will not be assessed further.

STAG	STAGE 1A ASSESSMENT			
	This stage of the assessment rules out sites that are too small or conflict with national policy designations.			
Provi	sional Site Capacity			
1	Is the site likely to accommodate less than 10 dwellings? Work out the number of new homes from site size using density of 30dph and exclude up to 40 %	No	Number of proposed dwellings as per proforma:	
	depending on site size of land for infrastructure and services, take into account topography or significant			
	 areas of undevelopable land. Site Size Gross to net ratio standards Up to 0.4 hectare 100% 		Number of proposed dwellings as per CBC methodology:	
	 Op to 0.4 nectare 100% 0.4 to 2 hectares 80% 2 hectares or above 60% 		10	
	Note: for this calculation use the submitted Developable Area, or the area measured in GIS if this is smaller.			
Flood	Risk (All sites which reach Stage 2 will be subject to th	ne Seque	ntial Test)	
2	Is more than 50% of the site located in Flood Zone 2 or 3?	No	Site not in flood zone 2 or 3	
3	Is more than 50% of the site at risk from surface water flooding?	No	Site not at risk of surface water flooding	
Natio	nally significant designations (All sites which reach S	tage 2 b	e subject to detailed assessment)	
4	Is more than 50% of the site covered by nationally significant designations? These are: Sites of Special Scientific Interest, National Nature Reserves, Scheduled Monuments, Registered Parks and Gardens.	No	No nationally significant designations on site.	
5	Is more than 50% of the site located within the Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty?	No	Site not within AONB	
Does	the site continue to next stage?		Yes	

Page Z

¹¹ Employment sites and Gypsy and Traveller sites will be assessed using separate bespoke site assessment criteria.

STAG	STAGE 1B ASSESSMENT				
This s	s stage of the assessment rules out sites that are not well related to existing settlements but are of an				
insuffi	cient size to be self contained. It also rules out sites wh	ich would	cause coalescence of existing		
towns	or villages. For the purposes of this assessment, a sel	f-contain	ed site is defined as a site which will		
	le 1,500 homes or more ¹² .				
	ionship to Settlement				
6	For sites that are not of a sufficient scale to be self- contained, is the site a logical extension to the settlement or are there any major physical constraints(for example A roads, rivers or railways) that separate it from the main settlement?	G	The site is located on the eastern side of Sandy Road and is partially located within the settlement envelope. It is considered that part of the site could be considered a logical extension to Everton.		
7	Does the site cause coalescence between an existing village or town and another existing village or town? If yes, then grade as Amber if the site would be able to provide appropriate buffers or green wedges to mitigate this, or Red if it would not be possible for appropriate buffers to be provided leaving a reasonable developable area based on the individual context of the site.	G	The site would not cause coalescence.		
Does	the site continue to next stage?		Yes		

STAGE 1C ASSESSMENT

This stage of the assessment rules out sites that are not able to meet their critical infrastructure needs13.Critical InfrastructureGCritical infrastructure can be8Can the site meet the critical infrastructureGCritical infrastructure can be

0	Can the site meet the childer intrastructure	G	Chucai initastructure can be	
	requirements that will enable delivery ¹⁴ ?		provided.	
Does	the site continue to next stage?		Yes	
				-

STAGE 1D ASSESSMENT

This stage of the assessment rules out sites that are not available. A site is considered available for development where there are no legal or ownership problems and the landowner has expressed an intention to develop the site.

Availa	Availability				
9	What is the existing use of the site? Would the existing use limit the development potential?	G	Residential. The existing dwelling house is to be retained and all other structures are to be demolished.		
10	Is the land controlled by a developer or land owner who has expressed an intention to develop the site?	G	Land is controlled by land owner intent on developing the site.		
11	Are there any legal or ownership problems that could delay or prevent development? If Yes, then can these be issues be realistically overcome?	G	No known legal or ownership problems.		
12	Does the site already have planning permission for	R	No planning permission. Allocated		

¹² The figure of 1,500 homes has been taken from the Government Publication 'Locally-Led Garden Villages, Towns and Cities'. This defines the eligibility criteria for Garden Villages as standalone settlements of between 1,500 and 10,000 homes. (see <u>https://www.gov.uk/government/uploads/system/uploads/attachment_data/file/508205/Locally-led garden villages_towns_and_cities.pdf</u>)
¹³ Critical infrastructure is that which has been identified as infrastructure that must happen to enable physical

¹³ Critical infrastructure is that which has been identified as infrastructure that must happen to enable physical development. These infrastructure items are often known as 'blockers' or 'showstoppers', and are most common in relation to transport and utilities infrastructure. Failure to provide these pieces of infrastructure could result in significant delays in the delivery of development.

¹⁴ This is an assessment based on the information known at this stage, a full assessment of infrastructure requirements will be undertaken before any sites are allocated.

the proposed use? If yes, then score as Red because it's not eligible for allocation.	site HA20
Does the site continue to next stage?	No

 ${}^{\rm Page}23$

Site Assessment Framework for HOUSING¹⁵

Site details	
Reference Number	NLP452
Site Name	Land South of Tempsford
Site Address	Land South of Tempsford Road and East of the A1
Settlement	Everton (Tempsford/Sandy)
Size	Submitted Developable Area:160 ha
	Submitted Whole Site Area: 220 7ha
	Measured GIS Area: 221 ha
Proposed Use	residential
Any other	
information	

STAGE 1 : SUITABILITY AND AVAILABILITY (EXCLUSIONARY STAGE)

This section will exclude any sites which do not pass the exclusionary suitability criteria and they will not be assessed further.

STAG	E 1A ASSESSMENT			
	tage of the assessment rules out sites that are too sma	ll or confl	ict with national policy designations.	
	sional Site Capacity			
1	Is the site likely to accommodate less than 10	No	Number of proposed dwellings as	
	dwellings?		per proforma:	
	Work out the number of new homes from site size			
	using density of 30dph and exclude up to 40 %		3800 dwellings	
	depending on site size of land for infrastructure and			
	services, take into account topography or significant			
	areas of undevelopable land.			
	Site Size Gross to net ratio standards		Number of proposed dwellings as	
	 Up to 0.4 hectare 100% 		per CBC methodology:	
	• 0.4 to 2 hectares 80%			
	 2 hectares or above 60% 		2880 dwellings on 160 ha	
	Note: for this calculation use the submitted			
	Developable Area, or the area measured in GIS if			
	this is smaller.			
Flood	I Risk (All sites which reach Stage 2 will be subject to th	ne Seque	ntial Test)	
2	Is more than 50% of the site located in Flood Zone 2	No	Less than 50% of the site is located	
	or 3?		in Flood Zone 2 or 3.	
3	Is more than 50% of the site at risk from surface	No	Less than 50 % of the site is at risk	
	water flooding?		from surface water flooding.	
	nally significant designations (All sites which reach S			
4	Is more than 50% of the site covered by nationally	No	No nationally significant	
	significant designations? These are: Sites of Special		designations on site.	
	Scientific Interest, National Nature Reserves,			
	Scheduled Monuments, Registered Parks and			
	Gardens.			
5	Is more than 50% of the site located within the Area	No	Site not within AONB	
	of Outstanding Natural Beauty?			
Does	Does the site continue to next stage? Yes			

Page 24

¹⁵ Employment sites and Gypsy and Traveller sites will be assessed using separate bespoke site assessment criteria.

STAG	STAGE 1B ASSESSMENT			
insuffi towns	This stage of the assessment rules out sites that are not well related to existing settlements but are of an insufficient size to be self contained. It also rules out sites which would cause coalescence of existing towns or villages. For the purposes of this assessment, a self-contained site is defined as a site which will provide 1,500 homes or more ¹⁶ .			
	ionship to Settlement			
6	For sites that are not of a sufficient scale to be self- contained, is the site a logical extension to the settlement or are there any major physical constraints(for example A roads, rivers or railways) that separate it from the main settlement?	G	This site is considered to be sufficient scale to be self- contained. The land could be a standalone settlement however it is located close to Tempsford (0.6km) but is separated by the Tempsford Road and a wooded area. It is also located adjacent to Church End but also separated by the A1 and is probably better related to Sandy being 0.4km away although separated by 2 fields therefore does not represent a logical urban extension.	
7	Does the site cause coalescence between an existing village or town and another existing village or town? If yes, then grade as Amber if the site would be able to provide appropriate buffers or green wedges to mitigate this, or Red if it would not be possible for appropriate buffers to be provided leaving a reasonable developable area based on the individual context of the site.	G	Development of the site would not cause physical or visual coalescence between existing settlements, however soft landscaping will be required to mitigate visual impacts in relation to coalescence between Sandy and the proposed development.	
Does	the site continue to next stage?		Yes	
STAGE 1C ASSESSMENT				

This s	This stage of the assessment rules out sites that are not able to meet their critical infrastructure needs ¹⁷ .			
Critic	al Infrastructure			
8	Can the site meet the critical infrastructure requirements that will enable delivery ¹⁸ ?	A	As a new free standing settlement the site has the potential to be able to provide the land to meet some of its own infrastructure needs. The submission does not outline any further details.	
			It is not clear whether significant upgrades will be required for the A1 or how the site might relate to East/West Rail proposals and the level crossing at Everton that is likely to require resolution.	

¹⁶ The figure of 1,500 homes has been taken from the Government Publication 'Locally-Led Garden Villages, Towns and Cities'. This defines the eligibility criteria for Garden Villages as standalone settlements of between 1,500 and 10,000 homes. (see https://www.gov.uk/government/uploads/system/uploads/attachment_data/file/508205/Locallyled garden villages towns and cities.pdf)
¹⁷ Critical infrastructure is that which has been identified as infrastructure that must happen to enable physical

development. These infrastructure items are often known as 'blockers' or 'showstoppers', and are most common in relation to transport and utilities infrastructure. Failure to provide these pieces of infrastructure could result in significant delays in the delivery of development. ¹⁸ This is an assessment based on the information known at this stage, a full assessment of infrastructure

requirements will be undertaken before any sites are allocated.

	Also no assessment has been provided regarding any abnormal development costs that might be necessary for providing utilities.
Does the site continue to next stage?	Yes

STAGE 1D ASSESSMENT This stage of the assessment rules out sites that are not available. A site is considered available for development where there are no legal or ownership problems and the landowner has expressed an intention to develop the site.

Availa	Availability			
9	What is the existing use of the site? Would the existing use limit the development potential?	A	The site currently forms arable farmland, which would not limit the development potential of the site. However there is a CPS-Pipeline that crosses the site, which will require easement.	
10	Is the land controlled by a developer or land owner who has expressed an intention to develop the site?	G	The land is controlled by a consortium of landowners who have expressed an intention to develop the site.	
11	Are there any legal or ownership problems that could delay or prevent development? If Yes, then can these be issues be realistically overcome?	G	No known legal or ownership problems evident.	
12	Does the site already have planning permission for the proposed use? If yes, then score as Red because it's not eligible for allocation.	G	No planning permission for the proposed use.	
Does	the site continue to next stage?		Yes	

STAGE 1E ASSESSMENT

This section records the findings of the Strategic Green Belt Review and also provides a preliminary screening of sites to determine whether they <u>may</u> be capable of demonstrating Exceptional Circumstances. Any site in the Green Belt that is determined as suitable based on the high level SHLAA assessment would still have to demonstrate Exceptional Circumstances to considered for allocation in the Plan.

Gree	Greenbelt			
13	Is the site located within the Green Belt?	No	The site is not within the Green Belt	
14	If answer to question 13 is yes, then does the site lie within one of the parcels which have been identified in the Central Bedfordshire and Luton Green Belt Study as making only a relatively weak, weak, or no contribution? If yes, site progresses through to Stage 2.	N/A		

15a	 Does the site have all of the following merits that may outweigh the harm to the Green Belt and which may contribute to identification of exceptional circumstances? Adjoining settlement has at least 3 of the following key local services - convenience shop, lower school, middle school, upper school, village hall, GP surgery, post office, library (use settlement audit) Site makes a strong contribution to housing need (100 plus homes) within the Luton HMA Site is in or directly adjacent to a settlement that has a mainline rail station or direct assess (junction) to the strategic road network (A road or motorway) Sites in Green Belt other than those covered by 14 and 15b that cannot meet these criteria, will not progress any further in this assessment of suitability.* 	N/A	
15b	Sites which have support from the local community as demonstrated through an allocation in an adopted or draft Neighbourhood Plan (that has been subject to Regulation 14 consultation) that do not meet the criteria in question 15a will automatically progress through this stage to be considered further at Stage 2. ¹⁹	N/A	
Does	the site continue to next stage?		Yes

STAGE 2 : SUITABILITY (DETAILED ASSESSMENT)

STAGE 2 ASSESSMENT

This stage further assesses the site's suitability using detailed desktop assessment. A red rating for any question does not mean that the site will be automatically excluded at this stage as the ratings across Stage 2A will be looked at as a whole using planning balance.

Previ	ously Developed Land		
16	Is the site Previously Developed Land in accordance with the NPPF definition? • 76% - 100% (G) • 26 - 75% (A) • 25% - 0% (Greenfield) (R)	R	Less than 25% of the site is considered to form previously developed land.
Comr	munity		
17	Neighbourhood Planning (only applicable in designated areas) Is the site identified as a housing allocation in an emerging Neighbourhood Plan?	No	The site area covers 3 parish areas Sandy, Everton and Tempsford all of which do not have a Neighbourhood Plan area designated and a plan in progress.
18	Community Consultation Has any community consultation taken place? If yes, provide brief details on the form this consultation took and any overall community response.	No	No known community consultation.
19	Sustainability of Settlement Would this proposal impact on the sustainability of the settlement through the loss of services and	No	The proposal would not have a negative impact on the sustainability of Everton, Sandy or

¹⁹ Draft Neighbourhood Plan allocations in Green Belt that are proposed after this site assessment phase has concluded, may still be considered for allocation.

Page Z

	facilities (for example, employment, retail, public house etc)		Tempsford.
Cum	ulative Impact		
20	 Considering housing completions over the past 10 years, what has been the level of housing growth in the parish? Less than 5% growth (G) 5% to 20% growth (A) More than 20% growth (R) This is calculated by working out the total number of completions over the last ten years as a percentage of the dwellings in April 2006 (as calculated using census and completions data). 	A	Tempsford Number of houses in 2006: 237 Number of houses in 2016: 249 Percentage Growth: 5.06% Sandy Number of houses in 2006: 4,784 Number of houses in 2016: 5,119 Percentage Growth: 7.00% Everton Number of houses in 2006: 217 Number of houses in 2016: 227 Percentage Growth: 4.61% Total Percentage Growth: 6.82%.
21	 What level of housing growth would there be if all the outstanding permissions (as of April 2016) were to be completed? Less than 5% growth (G) 5% to 20% growth (A) More than 20% growth (R) This is calculated by working out the total number of outstanding permissions as of April 1st 2016 as percentage of the total number of dwellings in April 2016 (as calculated using census and completions data). 	G	Tempsford Number of houses in 2016: 249 Number of outstanding completions 2016: 3 Percentage Growth: 1.20% Sandy Number of houses in 2016: 5,119 Number of outstanding completions 2016: 21 Percentage Growth: 0.41% Everton Number of houses in 2016: 227 Number of outstanding completions 2016: 0 Percentage Growth: 0.00% Total Percentage Growth: 0.43%.
Phys	ical Constraints	•	
22	Are there any physical constraints or permanent features that affect the site's developability? For example pylons, gas works, sewage treatment works, topography or wind turbines.	A	There is a CPS-Pipeline that crosses this site from Southeast to Northwest, which will require easement.
Relat	ionship to Settlement		
23	Would development of the site be complementary to the existing settlement pattern, and would it have an adverse impact on any historic, unique or distinctive characteristics of the settlement's built or natural form?	A	The site would be a standalone settlement, however development would have an impact upon the setting of existing settlements including Sandy, Tempsford and Everton. Mitigation will be required through soft landscaping. Any harm will be weighed against the benefits of development.
	ultural Land Quality		
24	 Would the development impact on high quality agricultural land? 50% or more in non-agricultural land (G) 50% of more in Grade 3b, 4 or 5 (A) 50% or more in Grade 1, 2 or 3a (R) 	R	The majority of the site is Grade 2 or 3 agricultural land.

Page 28

STAG	GE 2 ASSESSMENT		
	stage further assesses the site's suitability using comme	ents from	technical specialists. A red rating for
any q	uestion does not mean that the site will be automatically	/ exclude	d at this stage as the ratings across
	e 2B will be looked at as a whole using planning balance	<u>.</u>	
Trans	sport and Access to Services		
25	Facilities and services		
	Question 26 considers the suitability and sustainability	of the sit	e for housing. It links to the
	Council's Settlement Hierarchy Audit.		
	Issues relating to capacity are assessed separately		
25a	Does the settlement have a Primary/Lower school?	G	Tempsford A
	• Yes, in the settlement (G)		Sandy G
	• Yes, proposed as part of the development (G)		Everton G
	 No, but an adjoining settlement does (A) 		Offered as part of development G
	 Not in the settlement or an adjoining 		
	settlement (R)		
25b	Does the settlement have a Middle school (if	G	Tempsford A
	applicable)?		Sandy G
	• Yes, in the settlement (G)		Everton G
	• Yes, proposed as part of the development (G)		Offered as part of development G
	 No, but an adjoining settlement does (A) Other established available (A) 		Ť
25c	Other catchment school available (A)		Tempeterd A
250	Does the settlement have a Secondary/ Upper school?	A	Tempsford A Sandy G
			Everton A
	 Yes, in the settlement (G) Yes, proposed as part of the development (G) 		Offered as part of development G
	 No, but an adjoining settlement does (A) 		Chered as part of development of
	 Other catchment school available (A) 		
25d	Does the settlement have a GPs surgery or medical	G	Tempsford R
200	centre?	Ŭ	Sandy G
	Yes, in the settlement (G)		Everton R
	• Yes, proposed as part of the development (G)		Offered as part of development G
	 No, but an adjoining settlement does (A) 		
	 Not in the settlement or an adjoining 		
	settlement (R)		
26	What retail provision does the settlement offer?	G	Tempsford R
	 Town Centre/ Supermarket (G) 		Sandy G
	Convenience Store / Post Office / Newsagent		Everton R
	(A)		Provision of local centre would be
	None (R)		required to serve settlement.
			-
27	Distance to bus stops with a frequent service (at	G	Bus stops likely to be available on
	least hourly at peak times):		periphery of the site but
	Less than 400m (G)		methodology means that
	• 400m-800m (A)		assessment comes out red at the
	• Over 800m (R)		moment – new public transport
	 OR submission form stated that improved 		facilities offered as part of development
	public transport facilities could be provided as		development
20	part of the development (G)		Over 1000m to Condu Train
28	Distance to nearest train station:	R	Over 1200m to Sandy Train Station. However new train station
	 Less than 800m (G) 800m 1200m (A) 		could be provided as part of East-
	• 800m-1200m (A)		West Rail.
20	Over 1200m (R) Is the site accessible from the existing read network?	G	
29	Is the site accessible from the existing road network?	9	Development could benefit from direct access to the A1, junction to
		I	uneer access to the AT, junction to

			the east.
	ol Capacity		
30	Do the local schools have capacity at all tiers?	R	No capacity to manage a development of this size – but could provide for its own needs.
31	If not, has a commitment been made to address this?	A	New schools and expansions to existing schools as necessary would be required as part of any new development.
	r Utilities (Gas, Electricity and Broadband Infrastruc		
32	Is there the capacity to provide all required infrastructure for waste water and potable water?	R/A/G	Water utilities companies have a statutory duty to supply water and waste water infrastructure to new development sites and a lack of available capacity does not prevent future development. Any infrastructure upgrades required will depend on the quantum and location of growth falling within each catchment area. Whilst the Stage 1 Water Cycle Study (April 2017) identifies the current capacity of existing water infrastructure, a Stage 2 study will be prepared to test the cumulative effect of sites that have been shortlisted for allocation in the Local Plan and identify the nature and timing of
Drain	age and Flooding (All sites subject to Sequential Te		any upgrades required
33	 What is the conclusion of the sequential approach to site allocations, in regards to flood risk? No assessment required (G) Consider Further Assessment (A) Further Assessment Required (R) 	R	Further assessment required.
Envir	onmental Health		
34	Contamination Are there any contamination constraints on site and will there be any remediation required?	R/A/G	Awaiting comments
35	Adjoining uses Would any adjoining uses have the potential to cause conflict with the proposed use? (for example; noise and smell)	A	A1 noise / railway noise / commercial. Possible to deliver with appropriate assessments and layout. Waste facility to the north east, potential source of odour.
-			
36	Landscape character What would the impacts of development be on the landscape character or setting of the area or any designated landscapes? Would there be any direct or indirect harm to the Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty or the Nature Improvement Area?	A	Large site - very limited capacity as development would be highly visible and intrude in countryside providing spatial separation of Sandy and Tempsford.
37	Heritage/ Archaeology What would the impacts of development be on any heritage assets and their setting? Are there any opportunities for enhancement of	A	The Council's Archaeologist has issued the following consultation response:

		1	
	these assets?		Site is known to contain complex multi-period archaeological remains. High level archaeological mitigation necessary and may affect viability. This site contains multi-period archaeological remains dating from the later prehistoric periods onwards; this includes a high status Roman occupation area. All of these sites are identified on the CBC Historic Environment Record. These remains may not necessarily prevent allocation or development but the high status Roman site is complex, it could be considered under the terms of para 139 of the NPPF and an appropriate mitigation strategy in line with para 141 of the NPPF that included preservation <i>in situ</i> is likely. This could affect viability of the scheme. Any planning submission would need to be accompanied by the results of an intrusive field evaluation to satisfy para 128 of the NPPF. If this site is allocated early consultation with the Archaeology Team is recommended. The Council's Conservation Officer has raised no objection to development at this site, and harm caused to heritage assets will be assessed in accordance with
			paragraphs 128 and 132-134 of the NPPF.
38	Ecological Assets What would the impacts of development be on any biological, geological or ecological assets and are there any opportunities for their enhancement?	G	Potential habitat connectivity enhancements, consider existing habitats & hedges / ditches, potential impact on farmland species
39	Open space/leisure and GI assets Are there any potential conflicts with open space, leisure designations or Rights of Way? Is there capacity to provide the required levels of open space and green infrastructure?	A	No Parish GI plan for Everton. Potential flooding issues on significant eastern part of site.
Mine	rals and Waste		
40	What would the impacts of development be on safeguarded minerals and waste sites, including mineral safeguarding sites?	G	No issues
Plann	hing History	•	
41	What is the sites planning history? (For example planning applications and submissions to previous Allocations Plans)		No relevant planning history

Does the site continue to next stage?

STAGE 2 ASSESSMENT CONCLUSION

Is the site suitable for the proposed development?

This site is located to the east of the A1 and to the north of the built edge of Sandy. The village of Tempsford lies to the north and west of the site and the village, of Everton lies to the east. The site is bordered to the east by the East Coast Main Line Railway, which is the railway connection between London Kings Cross and Edinburgh and to the west by the A1.

Yes

Development within this site would result in the loss of land in the best and most versatile agricultural land.

This site falls within the Landscape Character Area known as Baggin Wood Clay Vale. The Clay Vale is an open and predominantly flat arable landscape underlain by Oxford Clay. Clear views across the vale are terminated by the backdrop of the Everton Heath Wooded Greensand Ridge to the east, which provides a sense of containment. The Location as a whole is scarred by the elevated railway which runs to the east of the site and the A1 to the west. To the north the more wooded landscape of the river valley is defined by willows and poplars.

Development within this site would provide a significant number of homes, jobs and local infrastructure including green/blue infrastructure to support development and would benefit from direct access to the A1 and relatively close proximity to the Sandy Train Station which currently serves as the interchange for the East Coast Mainline Railway. However the A1 is currently considered to be congested at peak times both northbound towards the Blackcat Roundabout and Southbound at the roundabout junctions at Sandy and Biggleswade. Furthermore the northbound Tempsford junction would require improvements to support strategic scale development at this site. Furthermore; Tempsford Road towards Everton currently features a level crossing over the East Coast Mainline Railway and is subject to significant and frequent waiting periods, development of this site would likely require a scheme for the removal of this level crossing, likely to form a bridge. Thereby significant highway and public transport improvements would be required to support strategic scale development in this location.

Notwithstanding the above this location could be highly connected in the future due to strategic infrastructure projects which are currently under consultation and being planned, including improvements to the A428 (including improvements to the A1 Blackcat Roundabout), potential A1 realignment and East-West Rail, where Sandy has been indicated as an interchange on the preferred route for the central section. If these infrastructure projects come to fruition this area, including this site would be highly connected and could be considered for a more strategic scale development, subject to land availability and the detail of those transport infrastructure projects.

There is concern in relation to the early development of this site prior to the routing of East-West Rail including the location of its interchange being defined, as well as the route for the A1. Whereby development of this site could form a barrier to the delivery of these infrastructure projects if the route is not considered in master planning the site. Furthermore, without the detail of such infrastructure projects the detail of the development within the site would be difficult to plan.

Large portions of this site are located within Flood Zones 2 and 3, whereby development that is at risk of flooding would be required to be located beyond flood zones. The presence of flood zones provides an opportunity for the creation of large areas of green/blue infrastructure.

There are concerns in relation to the vehicular connectivity to Sandy (which will be required), whereby it does not appear that direct connections (either bus only or for private vehicles) could be achieved on the land submitted without traffic routing onto the A1.

Development within the site would be within the setting of the conservation area of and listed

buildings at Tempsford (Church End) including:

- The Weatsheaf, Grade II Listed Building;
- Nos. 30, 32 and 34, 36 and 38 Church Street (Church End), Grade II Listed Buildings;
- Church Farmhouse, Grade II Listed Building;
- Brewhouse and Outbuilding at Church Farm, Grade II Listed Building; and
- Church of St Peter, Grade II* Listed Building.

However when considering the site is separated from these heritage assets by the A1, it is considered that the harm to the significance of these heritage assets would likely be less than substantial. Thereby it is considered the public benefits of development would need to be weighed against such harm. When considering the scale of the site it is considered that the public benefits of development in this location could be significant subject to details of transport infrastructure projects being available, and that such projects would support development in this location.

For the reasons outlined above it is considered that development in this site is worthy of further consideration either in silo or in combination with other land that is available in this general location if supported by strategic transport infrastructure.

Development will be required to be supported by local infrastructure as necessary to make development acceptable.

STAGE 3 : ACHIEVABILITY

This section assesses whether the site is Achievable in line with NPPG Guidance: A site is considered achievable for development where there is a reasonable prospect that the particular type of development will be developed on the site at a particular point in time. This is essentially a judgement about the economic viability of the site, and the capacity of the developer to complete and let or sell the development over a certain period.

Viability				
43	 Referring to the Viability Assessment undertaken by consultants, is the probability of the site being viable high, medium or low? High (G) Benchmark land value comfortably exceeded by likely residual value Low (A) Marginal viability, with likely residual land value close to benchmark land value Very Low (R) Likely residual value well below benchmark land value 	 A The Council's Residential Development Viability Report (Feb 2017) indicates that residual value of development in this value area and at this scale with £38k infrastructure costs would not exceed both the upper and lower benchmark land value and as such the report indicates that such development may not be viable. However the Council's Residential Development Viability Report (Feb 2017) is based upon residential property figures between 2013 and 2016 and based on the average building costs during 2016. The housing market within Central Bedfordshire has seen significant increases in residential property values in a relatively short period of time, whereby it is considered that the viability of developments within this report has been cautious. For example in 2016 Dunstable has benefited from a 17.9% housing price increase with an average annual house price increase in 		

	2016 for housing within Central Bedfordshire of 10.74%.
	This increase in property value has been a result of not only national trends in house prices and existing transport links to economically successful areas but also significant infrastructure projects within the pipeline including: East- West Rail; M1-A5 link road; A421 upgrades; Oxford to Cambridge Express Way; Luton and Dunstable Guided Busway; M1 improvements; and potential A1 improvements. It is considered that as such infrastructure projects progress that property prices within the Local Authority will likely continue to increase which has and will increase viability/deliverability of development not only in the higher value areas but also the lower value areas of the Authority. For the reasons outlined above it is considered that this scale of development within this value area
	may be viable. Development of this site will have site specific infrastructure requirements, further viability
	information will be required.
Achievability	
44 Are there any market factors which would affect deliverability?	The Council's Residential Development Viability Report (Feb 2017) is based upon residential property figures between 2013 and 2016. The housing market within Central Bedfordshire has seen significant increases in residential property values in a relatively short period of time, whereby it is considered that the viability of developments within this report has been cautious. For example in 2016 Dunstable has benefited from a 17.9% housing price increase with an average annual house price increase in 2016 for housing within Central Bedfordshire of 10.74%.
	This increase in property value has been a result of not only national trends in house prices and existing transport links to economically

 $_{\rm Page}34$

	successful areas but also significant infrastructure projects within the pipeline including: East- West Rail; M1-A5 link road; A421 upgrades; Oxford to Cambridge Express Way; Luton and Dunstable Guided Busway; M1 improvements; and potential A1 improvements. It is considered that as such infrastructure projects progress that property prices within the Local Authority will likely continue to increase which has and will increase viability/deliverability of development not only in the higher value areas but also the lower value areas of the Authority.
 45 When can the scheme realistically commence delivery? 0 to 5 years (deliverable) 6 to 10 years 11 to 15 years 15 to 20 years Outside Plan Period 	0-5 years
46 What is the indicative build out time of the site?	The Case Study Sites outlined within the Council's Residential Development Viability Report (Feb 2017) indicates that after the site has received detailed planning permission five housebuilders would likely take one year to first completion and would build out the site at a rate of 250 dwellings per annum there after.
Does the site pass this stage?	Yes

SUMMARY

The sites that pass through this assessment process will not automatically be allocated for development in the Local Plan.

 ${}^{\rm Page}35$

Sites will be selected with reference to a number of other factors including:

- The strategy, vision and objectives proposed in the draft plan
- Technical evidence studies
- The sustainability appraisal process
- The results of public consultation
- Flood Risk Sequential Approach
- Further transport modelling
- Consultation with neighbouring authorities

Central Bedfordshire

A great place to live and work