


# **Site Assessment Forms**

Wrestlingworth



# **Contents**

NLP092	4
NI P333	11





#### Site Assessment Framework for HOUSING<sup>1</sup>

Site details	
Reference Number	NLP092
Site Name	Land east of the High Street
Site Address	94 High Street, Wrestlingworth
Settlement	Wrestlingworth
Size	Submitted Developable Area: 2ha
	Submitted Whole Site Area: 2ha
	Measured GIS Area: 2.3
Proposed Use	Residential
Any other	Application dismissed at appeal
information	

# STAGE 1: SUITABILITY AND AVAILABILITY (EXCLUSIONARY STAGE)

This section will exclude any sites which do not pass the exclusionary suitability criteria and they will not be assessed further.

	SE 1A ASSESSMENT		
	stage of the assessment rules out sites that are too sma	Il or conf	ict with national policy designations.
	sional Site Capacity		
1	Is the site likely to accommodate less than 10 dwellings?  Work out the number of new homes from site size using density of 30dph and exclude up to 40 % depending on site size of land for infrastructure and services, take into account topography or significant areas of undevelopable land.  Site Size Gross to net ratio standards  Up to 0.4 hectare 100%  O.4 to 2 hectares 80%  Pote: for this calculation use the submitted Developable Area, or the area measured in GIS if this is smaller.	No	Number of proposed dwellings as per proforma:  30  Number of proposed dwellings as per CBC methodology:  48
Flood	Risk (All sites which reach Stage 2 will be subject to the	ne Seaue	ntial Test)
2	Is more than 50% of the site located in Flood Zone 2 or 3?	No	Not located within Flood Zone 2 or 3.
3	Is more than 50% of the site at risk from surface water flooding?	No	Not at risk from surface water flooding.
Natio	nally significant designations (All sites which reach S	Stage 2 b	e subject to detailed assessment)
4	Is more than 50% of the site covered by nationally significant designations? These are: Sites of Special Scientific Interest, National Nature Reserves, Scheduled Monuments, Registered Parks and Gardens.	No	There are no nationally significant designations on site.
5	Is more than 50% of the site located within the Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty?	No	The site is not within the AONB.
Does	the site continue to next stage?		Yes

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Employment sites and Gypsy and Traveller sites will be assessed using separate bespoke site assessment criteria.

#### **STAGE 1B ASSESSMENT**

This stage of the assessment rules out sites that are not well related to existing settlements but are of an insufficient size to be self contained. It also rules out sites which would cause coalescence of existing towns or villages. For the purposes of this assessment, a self-contained site is defined as a site which will provide 1,500 homes or more<sup>2</sup>.

	Relationship	to Settlement
--	--------------	---------------

_		Δ.	T1 14 11 6
6	For sites that are not of a sufficient scale to be self-	Α	The site could potentially form an
	contained, is the site a logical extension to the		extension to Wrestlingworth as it
	settlement or are there any major physical		adjoins the settlement envelope to
	constraints(for example A roads, rivers or railways)		the north of the village. However, it
	that separate it from the main settlement?		would result in backland
	that obparate it from the main obtaining.		
			development.
7	Does the site cause coalescence between an	G	The site does not result in any
	existing village or town and another existing village		coalescence.
	or town? If yes, then grade as Amber if the site		
	would be able to provide appropriate buffers or		
	green wedges to mitigate this, or Red if it would not		
	be possible for appropriate buffers to be provided		
	leaving a reasonable developable area based on the		
	individual context of the site.		
Does	the site continue to next stage?		Yes

STAC	GE 1C ASSESSMENT			
This s	This stage of the assessment rules out sites that are not able to meet their critical infrastructure needs <sup>3</sup> .			
Critic	al Infrastructure			
8	Can the site meet the critical infrastructure requirements that will enable delivery <sup>4</sup> ?	G	Submitted form states that site can meet the critical infrastructure requirements.	
Does	the site continue to next stage?		Yes	

#### STAGE 1D ASSESSMENT

This stage of the assessment rules out sites that are not available. A site is considered available for development where there are no legal or ownership problems and the landowner has expressed an intention to develop the site.

Avaii	ability		
9	What is the existing use of the site?	G	Greenfield
	Would the existing use limit the development potential?		
10	Is the land controlled by a developer or land owner who has expressed an intention to develop the site?	G	Land is controlled by a landowner intent on developing the site.
11	Are there any legal or ownership problems that could delay or prevent development? If Yes, then can these be issues be realistically overcome?	G	No known legal or ownership problems.
12	Does the site already have planning permission for	Α	The site has recently been refused

The figure of 1,500 homes has been taken from the Government Publication 'Locally-Led Garden Villages, Towns and Cities'. This defines the eligibility criteria for Garden Villages as standalone settlements of between 1,500 and 10,000 homes. ( see https://www.gov.uk/government/uploads/system/uploads/attachment\_data/file/508205/Locallyled garden villages towns and cities.pdf )

<sup>4</sup> This is an assessment based on the information known at this stage, a full assessment of infrastructure requirements will be undertaken before any sites are allocated.

Critical infrastructure is that which has been identified as infrastructure that must happen to enable physical development. These infrastructure items are often known as 'blockers' or 'showstoppers', and are most common in relation to transport and utilities infrastructure. Failure to provide these pieces of infrastructure could result in significant delays in the delivery of development.

the proposed use? If yes, then score as Red because it's not eligible for allocation.	for planning permission (CB/15/04887) and this has been dismissed on appeal.
Does the site continue to next stage?	Yes

#### STAGE 1E ASSESSMENT

This section records the findings of the Strategic Green Belt Review and also provides a preliminary screening of sites to determine whether they <u>may</u> be capable of demonstrating Exceptional Circumstances. Any site in the Green Belt that is determined as suitable based on the high level SHLAA assessment would still have to demonstrate Exceptional Circumstances to considered for allocation in the Plan.

	1 Idili				
Gree					
13	Is the site located within the Green Belt?	No	Greenfield site beyond the Green Belt.		
14	If answer to question 13 is yes, then does the site lie within one of the parcels which have been identified in the Central Bedfordshire and Luton Green Belt Study as making only a relatively weak, weak, or no contribution? If yes, site progresses through to Stage 2.	N/A	Not applicable		
15a	Does the site have all of the following merits that may outweigh the harm to the Green Belt and which may contribute to identification of exceptional circumstances?  • Adjoining settlement has at least 3 of the following key local services - convenience shop, lower school, middle school, upper school, village hall, GP surgery, post office, library (use settlement audit)  • Site makes a strong contribution to housing need (100 plus homes) within the Luton HMA  • Site is in or directly adjacent to a settlement that has a mainline rail station or direct assess (junction) to the strategic road network (A road or motorway)  Sites in Green Belt other than those covered by 14 and 15b that cannot meet these criteria, will not progress any further in this assessment of suitability.*  Sites which have support from the local community as demonstrated through an allocation in an adopted or draft Neighbourhood Plan (that has been subject to Regulation 14 consultation) that do not meet the criteria in question 15a will automatically progress through this stage to be considered further at Stage	N/A	Not applicable  Not applicable		
Daar	2.5		l Vaa		
Does	Does the site continue to next stage?		Yes		

# STAGE 2 : SUITABILITY (DETAILED ASSESSMENT)

#### **STAGE 2 ASSESSMENT**

This stage further assesses the site's suitability using detailed desktop assessment. A red rating for any question does not mean that the site will be automatically excluded at this stage as the ratings across Stage 2A will be looked at as a whole using planning balance.

<sup>5</sup> Draft Neighbourhood Plan allocations in Green Belt that are proposed after this site assessment phase has concluded, may still be considered for allocation.

Previ	ously Developed Land		
16	Is the site Previously Developed Land in accordance	R	Greenfield
	with the NPPF definition?		
	• 76% - 100% (G)		
	• 26 - 75% (A)		
	• 25% - 0% (Greenfield) (R)		
Comr	nunity		
17	Neighbourhood Planning (only applicable in	Yes	Wrestlingworth is designated as a
''	designated areas)	103	Neighbourhood Planning area.
	Is the site identified as a housing allocation in an		The Neighbourhood Plan has been
	emerging Neighbourhood Plan?		submitted to the Council
			(Regulation 16).
18	Community Consultation	Yes	Through the planning application
	Has any community consultation taken place?		which was refused.
	If yes, provide brief details on the form this		
	consultation took and any overall community		
	response.		
19	Sustainability of Settlement	No	The proposal will not impact on the
	Would this proposal impact on the sustainability of		sustainability of the settlement.
	the settlement through the loss of services and		
	facilities (for example, employment, retail, public		
	house etc)		
Cumi	ulative Impact		
20	Considering housing completions over the past 10	G	Homes 2006 – 312
	years, what has been the level of housing growth in		Homes 2016 – 324
	the parish?		Percentage increase 3.85%
	<ul> <li>Less than 5% growth (G)</li> </ul>		
	• 5% to 20% growth (A)		
	More than 20% growth (R)		
	This is calculated by working out the total number of		
	completions over the last ten years as a percentage		
	of the dwellings in April 2006 (as calculated using		
	census and completions data).		
21	What level of housing growth would there be if all the	G	There is outstanding planning
	outstanding permissions (as of April 2016) were to		permission for 7 new homes, a
	be completed?		2.16% increase.
	<ul> <li>Less than 5% growth (G)</li> </ul>		
	• 5% to 20% growth (A)		
	More than 20% growth (R)		
	This is calculated by working out the total number of		
	outstanding permissions as of April 1st 2016 as		
	percentage of the total number of dwellings in April		
	2016 (as calculated using census and completions		
	data).		
	cal Constraints		
22	Are there any physical constraints or permanent	G	There are no physical constraints.
1	features that affect the site's developability?		
	For example pylons, gas works, sewage treatment		
	works, topography or wind turbines.	<u></u>	
	ionship to Settlement	1 .	
23	Would development of the site be complementary to	Α	The site would result in backland
	the existing settlement pattern, and would it have an		development.
1	adverse impact on any historic, unique or distinctive		
	characteristics of the settlement's built or natural		
	form?	<u></u>	
Agric	ultural Land Quality		

24	Would the development impact on high quality	Α	Grade 3
	agricultural land?		
	<ul> <li>50% or more in non-agricultural land (G)</li> </ul>		
	<ul> <li>50% of more in Grade 3b, 4 or 5 (A)</li> </ul>		
	<ul> <li>50% or more in Grade 1, 2 or 3a (R)</li> </ul>		

#### **STAGE 2 ASSESSMENT**

This stage further assesses the site's suitability using comments from technical specialists. A red rating for any question does not mean that the site will be automatically excluded at this stage as the ratings across Stage 2B will be looked at as a whole using planning balance.

	Stage 2B will be looked at as a whole using planning balance.				
	sport and Access to Services	<u> </u>			
25	Facilities and services				
	Question 26 considers the suitability and sustainability	of the sit	e for housing. It links to the		
	Council's Settlement Hierarchy Audit.				
	Issues relating to capacity are assessed separately				
25a	Does the settlement have a Primary/Lower school?	G	Within settlement		
	<ul> <li>Yes, in the settlement (G)</li> </ul>				
	<ul> <li>Yes, proposed as part of the development (G)</li> </ul>				
	<ul> <li>No, but an adjoining settlement does (A)</li> </ul>		· ·		
	Not in the settlement or an adjoining				
0.51	settlement (R)		A III I I		
25b	Does the settlement have a Middle school (if	Α	Adjoining settlement		
	applicable)?				
	Yes, in the settlement (G)  Yes, prepayed as part of the development (C)				
	<ul> <li>Yes, proposed as part of the development (G)</li> </ul>				
	<ul> <li>No, but an adjoining settlement does (A)</li> <li>Other catchment school available (A)</li> </ul>				
25c	Does the settlement have a Secondary/ Upper	Α	Adjoining settlement		
230	school?		Adjoining Settlement		
	Yes, in the settlement (G)				
	<ul> <li>Yes, proposed as part of the development (G)</li> </ul>				
	<ul> <li>No, but an adjoining settlement does (A)</li> </ul>				
	Other catchment school available (A)				
25d	Does the settlement have a GPs surgery or medical	Α	Adjoining settlement		
	centre?		, ,		
	Yes, in the settlement (G)				
	<ul> <li>Yes, proposed as part of the development (G)</li> </ul>				
	<ul> <li>No, but an adjoining settlement does (A)</li> </ul>				
	<ul> <li>Not in the settlement or an adjoining</li> </ul>				
	settlement (R)				
26	What retail provision does the settlement offer?	R	None		
	Town Centre/ Supermarket (G)				
	Convenience Store / Post Office / Newsagent				
	(A)				
27	None (R)  Distance to his stone with a frequent comice (at	Λ	C20m		
21	Distance to bus stops with a frequent service (at least hourly at peak times):	Α	630m		
	Less than 400m (G)				
	• 400m-800m (A)				
	• Over 800m (R)				
	OR submission form stated that improved				
	public transport facilities could be provided as				
	part of the development (G)				

			0 4000
28	Distance to nearest train station:	R	Over 1200m
	<ul><li>Less than 800m (G)</li></ul>		
	• 800m-1200m (A)		
	<ul> <li>Over 1200m (R)</li> </ul>		
29	Is the site accessible from the existing road network?	Α	High Street, requires demolition of
	· ·		existing dwelling
Scho	ol Capacity		
30	Do the local schools have capacity at all tiers?	R	Lower school site too small to
			accommodate further expansion –
			land or new site may be required.
31	If not, has a commitment been made to address	R	Land or new site may be required.
• •	this?	'`	Edita of flow site may be required.
Wate	r Utilities (Gas, Electricity and Broadband Infrastruc	ture will	be assessed at a later stage)
32	Is there the capacity to provide all required	R/A/G	Water utilities companies have a
32	infrastructure for waste water and potable water?	10700	statutory duty to supply water and
	I milastructure for waste water and potable water:		waste water infrastructure to new
			development sites and a lack of
			available capacity does not prevent
			future development. Any
			infrastructure upgrades required
			will depend on the quantum and
			location of growth falling within
			each catchment area. Whilst the
			Stage 1 Water Cycle Study (April
			2017) identifies the current capacity
			of existing water infrastructure, a
			Stage 2 study will be prepared to
			test the cumulative effect of sites
			that have been shortlisted for
			allocation in the Local Plan and
			identify the nature and timing of
			any upgrades required.
Drain	age and Flooding (All sites subject to Sequential Te	est)	
33	What is the conclusion of the sequential approach to	G	Site is at limited risk of surface
	site allocations, in regards to flood risk?		water flooding, assessment is
	<ul> <li>No assessment required (G)</li> </ul>		unlikely to be required.
	Consider Further Assessment (A)		
	Further Assessment Required (R)		
Envir	onmental Health		
34	Contamination	R/A/G	Awaiting comments
	Are there any contamination constraints on site and		3
	will there be any remediation required?		
35	Adjoining uses	R/A/G	Awaiting comments
	Would any adjoining uses have the potential to	, ., .	/ Walling commonle
	cause conflict with the proposed use? (for example;		
	noise and smell)		
Fnvir	onmental Constraints		
36	Landscape character	R	Site forms attractive rural edge to
	What would the impacts of development be on the	``	village and is crossed by long
	landscape character or setting of the area or any		distance path. Trees and hedges
	designated landscapes? Would there be any direct		
			important features.
	or indirect harm to the Area of Outstanding Natural		
	Beauty or the Nature Improvement Area?		
27	Havitaga/ Avahagalarii	_	Oita haa anaharada sirah ( ( )
37	Heritage/ Archaeology	Α	Site has archaeological potential
	What would the impacts of development be on any		but this would not prevent

	heritage assets and their setting? Are there any opportunities for enhancement of these assets?		allocation providing appropriate mitigation is undertaken.		
38	Ecological Assets What would the impacts of development be on any biological, geological or ecological assets and are there any opportunities for their enhancement?	A	Rough grass with hedgerows, possible impact on farmland species, retain, buffer and enhance existing habitat features.		
39	Open space/leisure and GI assets Are there any potential conflicts with open space, leisure designations or Rights of Way? Is there capacity to provide the required levels of open space and green infrastructure?	R	Parish GI plan identifies aspiration to create new community green space across this site, and to upgrade the existing permissive path to ROW status.		
Minerals and Waste					
40	What would the impacts of development be on safeguarded minerals and waste sites, including mineral safeguarding sites?	G	No issues		
Planning History					
41	What is the sites planning history? (For example planning applications and submissions to previous Allocations Plans)		Refusal and appeal dismissed for development on the site.		
Does	Does the site continue to next stage?		No		

# STAGE 2 ASSESSMENT CONCLUSION

Is the site suitable for the proposed development?

The site would have an adverse impact on settlement pattern and character due to back-land development; There would be adverse landscape implications as the site forms an attractive rural edge to the village and there are aspirations for GI in the GI plan.

### Site Assessment Framework for HOUSING<sup>6</sup>

Site details					
Reference Number	NLP333				
Site Name	Land at Potton Road				
Site Address	Land at Potton Road, Wrestlingworth				
Settlement	Wrestlingworth				
Size	Submitted Developable Area: 1ha				
	Submitted Whole Site Area: 1ha				
	Measured GIS Area: 1.01ha				
Proposed Use	Residential				
Any other					
information					

# STAGE 1: SUITABILITY AND AVAILABILITY (EXCLUSIONARY STAGE)

This section will exclude any sites which do not pass the exclusionary suitability criteria and they will not be assessed further.

	STAGE 1A ASSESSMENT						
This stage of the assessment rules out sites that are too small or conflict with national policy designations.							
Provisional Site Capacity							
1	Is the site likely to accommodate less than 10 dwellings? Work out the number of new homes from site size using density of 30dph and exclude up to 40 % depending on site size of land for infrastructure and services, take into account topography or significant areas of undevelopable land. Site Size Gross to net ratio standards  • Up to 0.4 hectare 100%  • 0.4 to 2 hectares 80%  • 2 hectares or above 60% Note: for this calculation use the submitted Developable Area, or the area measured in GIS if	No	Number of proposed dwellings as per proforma:  16  Number of proposed dwellings as per CBC methodology:  24				
Floor	this is smaller.	o Sogue	Intial Tost)				
2	I Risk (All sites which reach Stage 2 will be subject to the list more than 50% of the site located in Flood Zone 2	No	Not located within Flood Zone 2 or				
	or 3?	INO	3.				
3	Is more than 50% of the site at risk from surface water flooding?	Yes	More than 50% of the site is at risk of surface water flooding (1/100 year occurrence).				
Natio	nally significant designations (All sites which reach S	Stage 2 b	e subject to detailed assessment)				
4	Is more than 50% of the site covered by nationally significant designations? These are: Sites of Special Scientific Interest, National Nature Reserves, Scheduled Monuments, Registered Parks and Gardens.	No	There are no nationally significant designations on site.				
5	Is more than 50% of the site located within the Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty?	No	The site is not within the AONB.				
Does	Does the site continue to next stage?		No				

<sup>6</sup> Employment sites and Gypsy and Traveller sites will be assessed using separate bespoke site assessment criteria.



A great place to live and work