Draft Parking Standards for New Developments

Campton and Chicksands Parish Council would like to make the following observations on the draft Parking Standards Document.

1. Page 7 We are concerned that the statement,

"It is accepted that there always be exceptions or developments that have specific circumstances that may warrant relaxation to the standards"

would allow developers to use it as an opportunity to ignore the parking standards. We understand the need for flexibility for developers to find creative ways in which to solve parking issues, but feel the statement provides too great an opportunity for developers to opt out. Perhaps a more appropriate statement might be to change the word "always" to "maybe"?

2. Garage width

The Parish Council are pleased to note the enlarged space for a single car garage which allows enough room to open the car doors so that people with disabilities can disembark a car more easily.

3 Green space set aside as car parking space

The Parish Council have two concerns related to the green space located for parking. Firstly they oppose the concept that land that has been identified as garden space can be converted into parking space.

Secondly, we have concerns that, "green space" which has been allocated for parking, if it is required, may be used to extend a dwelling, so possibly meaning cars may result in being parked on the road so defeating the object of the document i.e keeping cars off the roads.

4. Double Garages

The idea that a double garage counts as single parking space seems to be a little muddled, for it accepts that part of the garage will be used for storage rather than parking. Why not get developers to create a storage area in the first place?

Secondly the proposed size of a double garage will provide opportunity for residents to convert it into a games room, another bedroom, a granny flat (as may single garages have been) all of which may be reasonable but is counter the idea of reducing parking on the roads.

5. Increased use of land

The Parish Council are also very concerned that by increasing the parking requirements and counting double garages as one space for parking purposes that this may lead to developers to ask for more land as they will be able to build less houses on a site.

For example, a development set aside for 65 homes with a large proportion of four bedroom houses would only be able to build approximately 52 dwellings. Would a developer therefore come back and ask/demand more land so that they could build the 65 properties so increasing the footprint of the site?

Yours sincerely.